



US009446521B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Casey et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,446,521 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*Sep. 20, 2016**

(54) **OBSTACLE FOLLOWING SENSOR SCHEME FOR A MOBILE ROBOT**

- (71) Applicant: **iRobot Corporation**, Bedford, MA (US)
- (72) Inventors: **Christopher M. Casey**, Lexington, MA (US); **Matthew Cross**, Mason, NH (US); **Daniel N. Ozick**, Newton, MA (US); **Joseph L. Jones**, Acton, MA (US)
- (73) Assignee: **iRobot Corporation**, Bedford, MA (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 167 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/297,892**

(22) Filed: **Jun. 6, 2014**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0288708 A1 Sep. 25, 2014

**Related U.S. Application Data**

- (63) Continuation of application No. 12/145,184, filed on Jun. 24, 2008, now Pat. No. 8,761,935, which is a continuation of application No. 12/126,757, filed on May 23, 2008, now Pat. No. 8,478,442, which is a

(Continued)

- (51) **Int. Cl.**  
**B25J 9/16** (2006.01)  
**G05D 1/02** (2006.01)  
**G01S 15/93** (2006.01)

- (52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **B25J 9/1697** (2013.01); **G05D 1/0238** (2013.01); **G05D 1/0242** (2013.01);

(Continued)

- (58) **Field of Classification Search**  
CPC .. B25J 9/1697; G05D 1/0238; G05D 1/0242  
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,755,054 A 4/1930 Darst  
1,780,221 A 11/1930 Buchmann

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 2128842 C3 12/1980  
DE 3317376 C2 12/1987

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Andersen et al., "Landmark based navigation strategies," SPIE Conference on Mobile Robots XIII, SPIE vol. 3525, pp. 170-181, Jan. 8, 1999.

(Continued)


*Primary Examiner* — Nicholas Kiswanto

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Fish & Richardson P.C.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A robot obstacle detection system including a robot housing which navigates with respect to a surface and a sensor subsystem aimed at the surface for detecting the surface. The sensor subsystem includes an emitter which emits a signal having a field of emission and a photon detector having a field of view which intersects the field of emission at a region. The subsystem detects the presence of an object proximate the mobile robot and determines a value of a signal corresponding to the object. It compares the value to a predetermined value, moves the mobile robot in response to the comparison, and updates the predetermined value upon the occurrence of an event.

**20 Claims, 28 Drawing Sheets**



**Related U.S. Application Data**

continuation of application No. 11/834,553, filed on Aug. 6, 2007, now Pat. No. 7,430,455, said application No. 12/145,184 is a continuation of application No. 11/834,573, filed on Aug. 6, 2007, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 11/166,986, filed on Jun. 24, 2005, now Pat. No. 8,412,377, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 10/453,202, filed on Jun. 3, 2003, now Pat. No. 7,155,308, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 09/768,773, filed on Jan. 24, 2001, now Pat. No. 6,594,844.

- (60) Provisional application No. 60/582,992, filed on Jun. 25, 2004, provisional application No. 60/177,703, filed on Jan. 24, 2000.

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC ..... *A47L 2201/04* (2013.01); *G01S 15/931* (2013.01); *G05D 1/024* (2013.01); *G05D 1/0255* (2013.01); *G05D 2201/0203* (2013.01); *G05D 2201/0208* (2013.01); *G05D 2201/0215* (2013.01); *Y02P 90/265* (2015.11); *Y10S 901/01* (2013.01); *Y10S 901/47* (2013.01)

(56) **References Cited**

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,970,302 A	8/1934	Gerhardt	4,309,758 A	1/1982	Halsall et al.
2,136,324 A	11/1938	John	4,328,545 A	5/1982	Halsall et al.
2,302,111 A	11/1942	Dow et al.	4,367,403 A	1/1983	Miller
2,353,621 A	7/1944	Sav et al.	4,369,543 A	1/1983	Chen et al.
2,770,825 A	11/1956	Pullen	4,401,909 A	8/1983	Gorsek
2,930,055 A	3/1960	Fallen et al.	4,416,033 A	11/1983	Specht
3,119,369 A	1/1964	Harland et al.	4,445,245 A	5/1984	Lu
3,166,138 A	1/1965	Dunn	4,465,370 A	8/1984	Yuasa et al.
3,333,564 A	8/1967	Waters	4,477,998 A	10/1984	You
3,375,375 A	3/1968	Robert et al.	4,481,692 A	11/1984	Kurz
3,381,652 A	5/1968	Schaefer et al.	4,482,960 A	11/1984	Pryor
3,457,575 A	7/1969	Bienek	4,492,058 A	1/1985	Goldfarb et al.
3,550,714 A	12/1970	Bellinger	4,513,469 A	4/1985	Godfrey et al.
3,569,727 A	3/1971	Aggarwal et al.	D278,732 S	5/1985	Ohkado
3,649,981 A	3/1972	Woodworth	4,518,437 A	5/1985	Sommer
3,674,316 A	7/1972	De Bray	4,534,637 A	8/1985	Suzuki et al.
3,678,882 A	7/1972	Kinsella	4,556,313 A	12/1985	Miller et al.
3,690,559 A	9/1972	Rudloff	4,575,211 A	3/1986	Matsumura et al.
3,744,586 A	7/1973	Leinauer	4,580,311 A	4/1986	Kurz
3,756,667 A	9/1973	Bombardier et al.	4,596,412 A	6/1986	Everett et al.
3,809,004 A	5/1974	Leonheart	4,601,082 A	7/1986	Kurz
3,816,004 A	6/1974	Bignardi	4,618,213 A	10/1986	Chen
3,845,831 A	11/1974	James	4,620,285 A	10/1986	Perdue
RE28,268 E	12/1974	Autrand	4,624,026 A	11/1986	Olson et al.
3,851,349 A	12/1974	Lowder	4,626,995 A	12/1986	Lofgren et al.
3,853,086 A	12/1974	Asplund	4,628,454 A	12/1986	Ito
3,863,285 A	2/1975	Hukuba	4,638,445 A	1/1987	Mattaboni
3,888,181 A	6/1975	Kups	4,644,156 A	2/1987	Takahashi et al.
3,937,174 A	2/1976	Haaga	4,649,504 A	3/1987	Krouglicof et al.
3,952,361 A	4/1976	Wilkins	4,652,917 A	3/1987	Miller
3,989,311 A	11/1976	Debrey	4,654,492 A	3/1987	Koerner et al.
3,989,931 A	11/1976	Phillips	4,654,924 A	4/1987	Getz et al.
4,004,313 A	1/1977	Capra	4,660,969 A	4/1987	Sorimachi et al.
4,012,681 A	3/1977	Finger et al.	4,662,854 A	5/1987	Fang
4,070,170 A	1/1978	Leinfelt	4,674,048 A	6/1987	Okumura
4,099,284 A	7/1978	Shinozaki et al.	4,679,152 A	7/1987	Perdue
4,119,900 A	10/1978	Kremnitz	4,680,827 A	7/1987	Hummel
4,175,589 A	11/1979	Nakamura et al.	4,696,074 A	9/1987	Cavalli
4,175,892 A	11/1979	De bray	D292,223 S	10/1987	Trumbull
4,196,727 A	4/1980	Verkaart et al.	4,700,301 A	10/1987	Dyke
4,198,727 A	4/1980	Farmer	4,700,427 A	10/1987	Knepper
4,199,838 A	4/1980	Simonsson	4,703,820 A	11/1987	Reinaud
4,209,254 A	6/1980	Reymond et al.	4,709,773 A	12/1987	Clement et al.
D258,901 S	4/1981	Keyworth	4,710,020 A	12/1987	Maddox et al.
4,297,578 A	10/1981	Carter	4,712,740 A	12/1987	Duncan et al.
4,305,234 A	12/1981	Pichelman	4,716,621 A	1/1988	Zoni
4,306,329 A	12/1981	Yokoi	4,728,801 A	3/1988	O'Connor
			4,733,343 A	3/1988	Yoneda et al.
			4,733,430 A	3/1988	Westergren
			4,733,431 A	3/1988	Martin
			4,735,136 A	4/1988	Lee et al.
			4,735,138 A	4/1988	Gawler et al.
			4,748,336 A	5/1988	Fujie et al.
			4,748,833 A	6/1988	Nagasawa
			4,756,049 A	7/1988	Uehara
			4,767,213 A	8/1988	Hummel
			4,769,700 A	9/1988	Pryor
			4,777,416 A	10/1988	George et al.
			D298,766 S	11/1988	Tanno et al.
			4,782,550 A	11/1988	Jacobs
			4,796,198 A	1/1989	Boultinghouse et al.
			4,806,751 A	2/1989	Abe et al.
			4,811,228 A	3/1989	Hyypa
			4,813,906 A	3/1989	Matsuyama et al.
			4,815,157 A	3/1989	Tsuchiya
			4,817,000 A	3/1989	Eberhardt
			4,818,875 A	4/1989	Weiner
			4,829,442 A	5/1989	Kadonoff et al.
			4,829,626 A	5/1989	Harkonen et al.
			4,832,098 A	5/1989	Palinkas et al.
			4,851,661 A	7/1989	Everett
			4,854,000 A	8/1989	Takimoto
			4,854,006 A	8/1989	Nishimura et al.
			4,855,915 A	8/1989	Dallaire
			4,857,912 A	8/1989	Everett et al.
			4,858,132 A	8/1989	Holmquist
			4,867,570 A	9/1989	Sorimachi et al.
			4,878,003 A	10/1989	Knepper
			4,880,474 A	11/1989	Koharagi et al.

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,887,415 A	12/1989	Martin	5,182,833 A	2/1993	Yamaguchi et al.
4,891,762 A	1/1990	Chotiros	5,187,662 A	2/1993	Kamimura et al.
4,893,025 A	1/1990	Lee	5,202,742 A	4/1993	Frank et al.
4,901,394 A	2/1990	Nakamura et al.	5,204,814 A	4/1993	Noonan et al.
4,905,151 A	2/1990	Weiman et al.	5,206,500 A	4/1993	Decker et al.
4,909,972 A	3/1990	Britz	5,208,521 A	5/1993	Aoyama
4,912,643 A	3/1990	Beirne	5,216,777 A	6/1993	Moro et al.
4,918,441 A	4/1990	Bohman	5,222,786 A	6/1993	Sovis et al.
4,919,224 A	4/1990	Shyu et al.	5,227,985 A	7/1993	DeMenthon
4,919,489 A	4/1990	Kopsco	5,233,682 A	8/1993	Abe et al.
4,920,060 A	4/1990	Parrent et al.	5,239,720 A	8/1993	Wood et al.
4,920,605 A	5/1990	Takashima	5,251,358 A	10/1993	Moro et al.
4,933,864 A	6/1990	Evans et al.	5,261,139 A	11/1993	Lewis
4,937,912 A	7/1990	Kurz	5,276,618 A	1/1994	Everett
4,949,277 A	8/1990	Trovato et al.	5,276,939 A	1/1994	Uenishi
4,953,253 A	9/1990	Fukuda et al.	5,277,064 A	1/1994	Knigga et al.
4,954,962 A	9/1990	Evans et al.	5,279,672 A	1/1994	Betker et al.
4,955,714 A	9/1990	Stotler et al.	5,284,452 A	2/1994	Corona
4,956,891 A	9/1990	Wulff	5,284,522 A	2/1994	Kobayashi et al.
4,961,303 A	10/1990	McCarty et al.	5,293,955 A	3/1994	Lee
4,961,304 A	10/1990	Ovsborn et al.	D345,707 S	4/1994	Alister
4,962,453 A	10/1990	Pong et al.	5,303,448 A	4/1994	Hennessey et al.
4,967,862 A	11/1990	Pong et al.	5,307,273 A	4/1994	Oh et al.
4,971,591 A	11/1990	Raviv et al.	5,309,592 A	5/1994	Hiratsuka
4,973,912 A	11/1990	Kaminski et al.	5,310,379 A	5/1994	Hippely et al.
4,974,283 A	12/1990	Holsten et al.	5,315,227 A	5/1994	Pierson et al.
4,977,618 A	12/1990	Allen	5,319,827 A	6/1994	Yang
4,977,639 A	12/1990	Takahashi et al.	5,319,828 A	6/1994	Waldhauser et al.
4,986,663 A	1/1991	Cecchi et al.	5,321,614 A	6/1994	Ashworth
5,001,635 A	3/1991	Yasutomi et al.	5,323,483 A	6/1994	Baeg
5,002,145 A	3/1991	Wakaumi et al.	5,324,948 A	6/1994	Dudar et al.
5,012,886 A	5/1991	Jonas et al.	5,331,713 A	7/1994	Tipton
5,018,240 A	5/1991	Holman	5,341,186 A	8/1994	Kato
5,020,186 A	6/1991	Lessig et al.	5,341,540 A	8/1994	Souper et al.
5,022,812 A	6/1991	Coughlan et al.	5,341,549 A	8/1994	Wirtz et al.
5,023,788 A	6/1991	Kitazume et al.	5,345,649 A	9/1994	Whitlow
5,024,529 A	6/1991	Svetkoff et al.	5,352,901 A	10/1994	Poorman
D318,500 S	7/1991	Malewicki et al.	5,353,224 A	10/1994	Lee et al.
5,032,775 A	7/1991	Mizuno et al.	5,363,305 A	11/1994	Cox et al.
5,033,151 A	7/1991	Kraft et al.	5,363,935 A	11/1994	Schempf et al.
5,033,291 A	7/1991	Podoloff et al.	5,369,347 A	11/1994	Yoo
5,040,116 A	8/1991	Evans et al.	5,369,838 A	12/1994	Wood et al.
5,045,769 A	9/1991	Everett	5,386,862 A	2/1995	Glover et al.
5,049,802 A	9/1991	Mintus et al.	5,399,951 A	3/1995	Lavallee et al.
5,051,906 A	9/1991	Evans et al.	5,400,244 A	3/1995	Watanabe et al.
5,062,819 A	11/1991	Mallory	5,404,612 A	4/1995	Ishikawa
5,070,567 A	12/1991	Holland	5,410,479 A	4/1995	Coker
5,084,934 A	2/1992	Lessig et al.	5,435,405 A	7/1995	Schempf et al.
5,086,535 A	2/1992	Grossmeyer et al.	5,440,216 A	8/1995	Kim
5,090,321 A	2/1992	Abouav	5,442,358 A	8/1995	Keeler et al.
5,093,955 A	3/1992	Blehert et al.	5,444,965 A	8/1995	Colens
5,094,311 A	3/1992	Akeel	5,446,356 A	8/1995	Kim
5,098,262 A	3/1992	Wecker et al.	5,446,445 A	8/1995	Bloomfield et al.
5,105,502 A	4/1992	Takashima	5,451,135 A	9/1995	Schempf et al.
5,105,550 A	4/1992	Shenoha	5,454,129 A	10/1995	Kell
5,109,566 A	5/1992	Kobayashi et al.	5,455,982 A	10/1995	Armstrong et al.
5,111,401 A	5/1992	Everett, Jr. et al.	5,465,525 A	11/1995	Mifune et al.
5,115,538 A	5/1992	Cochran et al.	5,465,619 A	11/1995	Sotack et al.
5,127,128 A	7/1992	Lee	5,467,273 A	11/1995	Faibish et al.
5,136,675 A	8/1992	Hodson	5,471,560 A	11/1995	Allard et al.
5,136,750 A	8/1992	Takashima et al.	5,491,670 A	2/1996	Weber
5,142,985 A	9/1992	Stearns et al.	5,497,529 A	3/1996	Boesi
5,144,471 A	9/1992	Takanashi et al.	5,498,948 A	3/1996	Bruni et al.
5,144,714 A	9/1992	Mori et al.	5,502,638 A	3/1996	Takenaka
5,144,715 A	9/1992	Matsuyo et al.	5,505,072 A	4/1996	Oreper
5,152,028 A	10/1992	Hirano	5,507,067 A	4/1996	Hoekstra et al.
5,152,202 A	10/1992	Strauss	5,510,893 A	4/1996	Suzuki
5,154,617 A	10/1992	Suman et al.	5,511,147 A	4/1996	Abdel
5,155,684 A	10/1992	Burke et al.	5,515,572 A	5/1996	Hoekstra et al.
5,163,202 A	11/1992	Kawakami et al.	5,534,762 A	7/1996	Kim
5,163,320 A	11/1992	Goshima et al.	5,535,476 A	7/1996	Kresse et al.
5,164,579 A	11/1992	Pryor et al.	5,537,017 A	7/1996	Feiten et al.
5,165,064 A	11/1992	Mattaboni	5,537,711 A	7/1996	Tseng
5,170,352 A	12/1992	McTamaney et al.	5,539,953 A	7/1996	Kurz
5,173,881 A	12/1992	Sindle	5,542,146 A	8/1996	Hoekstra et al.
			5,542,148 A	8/1996	Young
			5,546,631 A	8/1996	Chambon
			5,548,511 A	8/1996	Bancroft
			5,551,119 A	9/1996	Wörwag

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,551,525	A	9/1996	Pack et al.	5,867,800	A	2/1999	Leif
5,553,349	A	9/1996	Kilstrom et al.	5,867,861	A	2/1999	Kasen et al.
5,555,587	A	9/1996	Guha	5,869,910	A	2/1999	Colens
5,560,077	A	10/1996	Crotchett	5,894,621	A	4/1999	Kubo
5,568,589	A	10/1996	Hwang	5,896,611	A	4/1999	Haaga
D375,592	S	11/1996	Ljunggren	5,903,124	A	5/1999	Kawakami
5,608,306	A	3/1997	Rybeck et al.	5,905,209	A	5/1999	Oreper
5,608,894	A	3/1997	Kawakami et al.	5,907,886	A	6/1999	Buscher
5,608,944	A	3/1997	Gordon	5,910,700	A	6/1999	Crotzer
5,610,488	A	3/1997	Miyazawa	5,911,260	A	6/1999	Suzuki
5,611,106	A	3/1997	Wulff	5,916,008	A	6/1999	Wong
5,611,108	A	3/1997	Knowlton et al.	5,924,167	A	7/1999	Wright et al.
5,613,261	A	3/1997	Kawakami et al.	5,926,909	A	7/1999	McGee
5,613,269	A	3/1997	Miwa	5,933,102	A	8/1999	Miller et al.
5,621,291	A	4/1997	Lee	5,933,913	A	8/1999	Wright et al.
5,622,236	A	4/1997	Azumi et al.	5,935,179	A	8/1999	Kleiner et al.
5,634,237	A	6/1997	Paranjpe	5,935,333	A	8/1999	Davis
5,634,239	A	6/1997	Tuvin et al.	5,940,346	A	8/1999	Sadowsky et al.
5,636,402	A	6/1997	Kubo et al.	5,940,927	A	8/1999	Haegermarck et al.
5,642,299	A	6/1997	Hardin et al.	5,940,928	A	8/1999	Erko
5,646,494	A	7/1997	Han	5,940,930	A	8/1999	Oh et al.
5,647,554	A	7/1997	Ikegami et al.	5,942,869	A	8/1999	Katou et al.
5,650,702	A	7/1997	Azumi	5,943,730	A	8/1999	Boomgaarden
5,652,489	A	7/1997	Kawakami	5,943,733	A	8/1999	Tagliaferri
5,663,879	A *	9/1997	Trovato	5,943,933	A	8/1999	Evans et al.
			B25J 9/1666	5,947,225	A	9/1999	Kawakami et al.
			180/167	5,950,408	A	9/1999	Schaedler
5,682,313	A	10/1997	Edlund et al.	5,959,423	A	9/1999	Nakanishi et al.
5,682,839	A	11/1997	Grimsley et al.	5,968,281	A	10/1999	Wright et al.
5,696,675	A	12/1997	Nakamura et al.	5,974,348	A	10/1999	Rocks
5,698,861	A	12/1997	Oh	5,974,365	A	10/1999	Mitchell
5,709,007	A	1/1998	Chiang	5,983,448	A	11/1999	Wright et al.
5,710,506	A	1/1998	Broell et al.	5,984,880	A	11/1999	Lander et al.
5,714,119	A	2/1998	Kawagoe et al.	5,987,383	A	11/1999	Keller et al.
5,717,169	A	2/1998	Liang et al.	5,989,700	A	11/1999	Krivopal
5,717,484	A	2/1998	Hamaguchi et al.	5,991,951	A	11/1999	Kubo et al.
5,720,077	A	2/1998	Nakamura et al.	5,995,883	A	11/1999	Nishikado
5,722,109	A	3/1998	Delmas et al.	5,995,884	A	11/1999	Allen et al.
5,732,401	A	3/1998	Conway	5,996,167	A	12/1999	Close
5,735,017	A	4/1998	Barnes et al.	5,998,953	A	12/1999	Nakamura et al.
5,735,959	A	4/1998	Kubo et al.	5,998,971	A	12/1999	Corbridge
5,742,975	A	4/1998	Knowlton et al.	6,000,088	A	12/1999	Wright et al.
5,745,235	A	4/1998	Vercammen et al.	6,009,358	A	12/1999	Angott et al.
5,752,871	A	5/1998	Tsuzuki	6,012,618	A	1/2000	Matsuo et al.
5,756,904	A	5/1998	Oreper et al.	6,021,545	A	2/2000	Delgado et al.
5,761,762	A	6/1998	Kubo	6,023,813	A	2/2000	Thatcher et al.
5,764,888	A	6/1998	Bolan et al.	6,023,814	A	2/2000	Imamura
5,767,437	A	6/1998	Rogers	6,025,687	A	2/2000	Himeda et al.
5,767,960	A	6/1998	Orman	6,026,539	A	2/2000	Mouw et al.
5,770,936	A	6/1998	Hirai et al.	6,030,464	A	2/2000	Azevedo
5,777,596	A	7/1998	Herbert	6,030,465	A	2/2000	Marcussen et al.
5,778,486	A	7/1998	Kim	6,032,327	A	3/2000	Oka et al.
5,781,697	A	7/1998	Jeong	6,032,542	A	3/2000	Warnick et al.
5,781,960	A	7/1998	Kilstrom et al.	6,036,572	A	3/2000	Sze
5,784,755	A	7/1998	Karr et al.	6,038,501	A	3/2000	Kawakami
5,786,602	A	7/1998	Pryor et al.	6,040,669	A	3/2000	Hog
5,787,545	A	8/1998	Colens	6,041,471	A	3/2000	Charky et al.
5,793,900	A	8/1998	Nourbakhsh et al.	6,041,472	A	3/2000	Kasen et al.
5,794,297	A	8/1998	Muta	6,046,800	A	4/2000	Ohtomo et al.
5,802,665	A	9/1998	Knowlton et al.	6,049,620	A	4/2000	Dickinson et al.
5,812,267	A	9/1998	Everett et al.	6,050,648	A	4/2000	Keleny
5,814,808	A	9/1998	Takada et al.	6,052,821	A	4/2000	Chouly et al.
5,815,880	A	10/1998	Nakanishi	6,055,042	A	4/2000	Sarangapani
5,815,884	A	10/1998	Imamura et al.	6,055,702	A	5/2000	Imamura et al.
5,819,008	A	10/1998	Asama et al.	6,061,868	A	5/2000	Moritsch et al.
5,819,360	A	10/1998	Fujii	6,065,182	A	5/2000	Wright et al.
5,819,936	A	10/1998	Saveliev et al.	6,070,290	A	6/2000	Schwarze et al.
5,820,821	A	10/1998	Kawagoe et al.	6,073,432	A	6/2000	Schaedler
5,821,730	A	10/1998	Drapkin	6,076,025	A	6/2000	Ueno et al.
5,825,981	A	10/1998	Matsuda	6,076,026	A	6/2000	Jambhekar et al.
5,828,770	A	10/1998	Leis et al.	6,076,226	A	6/2000	Reed
5,831,597	A	11/1998	West et al.	6,076,227	A	6/2000	Schallig et al.
5,836,045	A	11/1998	Anthony et al.	6,081,257	A	6/2000	Zeller
5,839,156	A	11/1998	Park et al.	6,088,020	A	7/2000	Mor
5,839,532	A	11/1998	Yoshiji et al.	6,094,775	A	8/2000	Behmer
5,841,259	A	11/1998	Kim et al.	6,099,091	A	8/2000	Campbell
				6,101,670	A	8/2000	Song
				6,101,671	A	8/2000	Wright et al.
				6,108,031	A	8/2000	King et al.

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,108,067	A	8/2000	Okamoto	6,442,476	B1	8/2002	Poropat
6,108,269	A	8/2000	Kabel	6,442,789	B1	9/2002	Legatt et al.
6,108,597	A	8/2000	Kirchner et al.	6,443,509	B1	9/2002	Levin et al.
6,108,859	A	8/2000	Burgoon	6,444,003	B1	9/2002	Sutcliffe
6,112,143	A	8/2000	Allen et al.	6,446,302	B1	9/2002	Kasper et al.
6,112,996	A	9/2000	Matsuo	6,454,036	B1	9/2002	Airey et al.
6,119,057	A	9/2000	Kawagoe	D464,091	S	10/2002	Christianson
6,122,798	A	9/2000	Kobayashi et al.	6,457,206	B1	10/2002	Judson
6,124,694	A	9/2000	Bancroft et al.	6,459,955	B1	10/2002	Bartsch et al.
6,125,498	A	10/2000	Roberts et al.	6,463,368	B1	10/2002	Feiten et al.
6,131,237	A	10/2000	Kasper et al.	6,465,982	B1	10/2002	Bergvall et al.
6,138,063	A	10/2000	Himeda	6,473,167	B1	10/2002	Odell
6,142,252	A	11/2000	Kinto et al.	6,480,762	B1	11/2002	Uchikubo et al.
6,146,041	A	11/2000	Chen et al.	6,481,515	B1	11/2002	Kirkpatrick et al.
6,146,278	A	11/2000	Kobayashi	6,482,252	B1	11/2002	Conrad et al.
6,154,279	A	11/2000	Thayer	6,490,539	B1	12/2002	Dickson et al.
6,154,694	A	11/2000	Aoki et al.	6,491,127	B1	12/2002	Holmberg et al.
6,160,479	A	12/2000	Ahlen et al.	6,493,612	B1	12/2002	Bisset et al.
6,167,332	A	12/2000	Kurtzberg et al.	6,493,613	B2	12/2002	Peless et al.
6,167,587	B1	1/2001	Kasper et al.	6,496,754	B2	12/2002	Song et al.
6,192,548	B1	2/2001	Huffman	6,496,755	B2	12/2002	Wallach et al.
6,192,549	B1	2/2001	Kasen et al.	6,502,657	B2	1/2003	Kerrebrock et al.
6,202,243	B1	3/2001	Beaufoy et al.	6,504,610	B1	1/2003	Bauer et al.
6,216,307	B1	4/2001	Kaleta et al.	6,507,773	B2	1/2003	Parker et al.
6,220,865	B1	4/2001	Macri et al.	6,519,808	B2	2/2003	Legatt et al.
6,226,830	B1	5/2001	Hendriks et al.	6,525,509	B1	2/2003	Petersson et al.
6,230,362	B1	5/2001	Kasper et al.	D471,243	S	3/2003	Cioffi et al.
6,237,741	B1	5/2001	Guidetti	6,530,102	B1	3/2003	Pierce et al.
6,240,342	B1	5/2001	Fiebert et al.	6,530,117	B2	3/2003	Peterson
6,243,913	B1	6/2001	Frank et al.	6,532,404	B2	3/2003	Colens
6,255,793	B1	7/2001	Peless et al.	6,535,793	B2	3/2003	Allard
6,259,979	B1	7/2001	Holmquist	6,540,424	B1	4/2003	Hall et al.
6,261,379	B1	7/2001	Conrad et al.	6,540,607	B2	4/2003	Mokris et al.
6,263,539	B1	7/2001	Baig	6,548,982	B1	4/2003	Papanikolopoulos et al.
6,263,989	B1	7/2001	Won	6,553,612	B1	4/2003	Dyson et al.
6,272,936	B1	8/2001	Oreper et al.	6,556,722	B1	4/2003	Russell et al.
6,276,478	B1	8/2001	Hopkins et al.	6,556,892	B2	4/2003	Kuroki et al.
6,278,918	B1	8/2001	Dickson et al.	6,557,104	B2	4/2003	Vu et al.
6,279,196	B2	8/2001	Kasen et al.	D474,312	S	5/2003	Stephens et al.
6,282,526	B1	8/2001	Ganesh	6,563,130	B2	5/2003	Dworkowski et al.
6,283,034	B1	9/2001	Miles	6,571,415	B2	6/2003	Gerber et al.
6,285,778	B1	9/2001	Nakajima et al.	6,571,422	B1	6/2003	Gordon et al.
6,285,930	B1	9/2001	Dickson et al.	6,572,711	B2	6/2003	Sclafani et al.
6,286,181	B1	9/2001	Kasper et al.	6,574,536	B1	6/2003	Kawagoe et al.
6,300,737	B1	10/2001	Bergvall et al.	6,580,246	B2	6/2003	Jacobs
6,321,337	B1	11/2001	Reshef et al.	6,584,376	B1	6/2003	Van Kommer
6,321,515	B1	11/2001	Colens	6,586,908	B2	7/2003	Petersson et al.
6,323,570	B1	11/2001	Nishimura et al.	6,587,573	B1	7/2003	Stam et al.
6,324,714	B1	12/2001	Walz et al.	6,590,222	B1	7/2003	Bisset et al.
6,327,741	B1	12/2001	Reed	6,594,551	B2	7/2003	McKinney et al.
6,332,400	B1	12/2001	Meyer	6,594,844	B2 *	7/2003	Jones ..... G05D 1/0238 15/319
6,339,735	B1	1/2002	Peless et al.	6,597,076	B2	7/2003	Scheible et al.
6,362,875	B1	3/2002	Burkley	D478,884	S	8/2003	Slipy et al.
6,370,453	B2	4/2002	Sommer	6,601,265	B1	8/2003	Burlington
6,374,155	B1	4/2002	Wallach et al.	6,604,021	B2	8/2003	Imai et al.
6,374,157	B1	4/2002	Takamura	6,604,022	B2	8/2003	Parker et al.
6,381,802	B2	5/2002	Park	6,605,156	B1	8/2003	Clark et al.
6,385,515	B1	5/2002	Dickson et al.	6,609,269	B2	8/2003	Kasper
6,388,013	B1	5/2002	Saraf et al.	6,611,120	B2	8/2003	Song et al.
6,389,329	B1	5/2002	Colens	6,611,734	B2	8/2003	Parker et al.
6,397,429	B1	6/2002	Legatt et al.	6,611,738	B2	8/2003	Ruffner
6,400,048	B1	6/2002	Nishimura et al.	6,615,108	B1	9/2003	Peless et al.
6,401,294	B2	6/2002	Kasper	6,615,434	B1	9/2003	Davis et al.
6,408,226	B1	6/2002	Byrne et al.	6,615,885	B1	9/2003	Ohm
6,412,141	B2	7/2002	Kasper et al.	6,622,465	B2	9/2003	Jerome et al.
6,415,203	B1	7/2002	Inoue et al.	6,624,744	B1	9/2003	Wilson et al.
6,418,586	B2	7/2002	Fulghum	6,625,843	B2	9/2003	Kim et al.
6,421,870	B1	7/2002	Basham et al.	6,629,028	B2	9/2003	Paromtchik et al.
6,427,285	B1	8/2002	Legatt et al.	6,633,150	B1	10/2003	Wallach et al.
6,430,471	B1	8/2002	Kintou et al.	6,637,546	B1	10/2003	Wang
6,431,296	B1	8/2002	Won	6,639,659	B2	10/2003	Granger
6,437,227	B1	8/2002	Theimer	6,658,325	B2	12/2003	Zweig
6,437,465	B1	8/2002	Nishimura et al.	6,658,354	B2	12/2003	Lin
6,438,456	B1	8/2002	Feddema et al.	6,658,692	B2	12/2003	Lenkiewicz et al.
6,438,793	B1	8/2002	Miner et al.	6,658,693	B1	12/2003	Reed
				6,661,239	B1	12/2003	Ozick
				6,662,889	B2	12/2003	De Fazio et al.
				6,668,951	B2	12/2003	Won

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,670,817 B2	12/2003	Fournier et al.	7,041,029 B2	5/2006	Fulghum et al.
6,671,592 B1	12/2003	Bisset et al.	7,051,399 B2	5/2006	Field et al.
6,671,925 B2	1/2004	Field et al.	7,053,578 B2	5/2006	Diehl et al.
6,677,938 B1	1/2004	Maynard	7,054,716 B2	5/2006	McKee et al.
6,687,571 B1	2/2004	Byrne et al.	7,055,210 B2	6/2006	Keppler et al.
6,690,134 B1	2/2004	Jones et al.	7,057,120 B2	6/2006	Ma et al.
6,690,993 B2	2/2004	Foulke et al.	7,057,643 B2	6/2006	Iida et al.
6,697,147 B2	2/2004	Ko et al.	7,059,012 B2	6/2006	Song et al.
6,705,332 B2	3/2004	Field et al.	7,065,430 B2	6/2006	Naka et al.
6,711,280 B2	3/2004	Stafsudd et al.	7,066,291 B2	6/2006	Martins et al.
6,732,826 B2	5/2004	Song et al.	7,069,124 B1	6/2006	Whittaker et al.
6,735,811 B2	5/2004	Field et al.	7,079,923 B2	7/2006	Abramson et al.
6,735,812 B2	5/2004	Hekman et al.	7,085,623 B2	8/2006	Siegers
6,737,591 B1	5/2004	Lapstun et al.	7,085,624 B2	8/2006	Aldred et al.
6,741,054 B2	5/2004	Koselka et al.	7,113,847 B2	9/2006	Chmura et al.
6,741,364 B2	5/2004	Lange et al.	7,133,746 B2	11/2006	Abramson et al.
6,748,297 B2	6/2004	Song et al.	7,142,198 B2	11/2006	Lee
6,756,703 B2	6/2004	Chang	7,148,458 B2	12/2006	Schell et al.
6,760,647 B2	7/2004	Nourbakhsh et al.	7,155,308 B2	12/2006	Jones
6,764,373 B1	7/2004	Osawa et al.	7,167,775 B2	1/2007	Abramson et al.
6,769,004 B2	7/2004	Barrett	7,171,285 B2	1/2007	Kim et al.
6,774,596 B1	8/2004	Bisset	7,173,391 B2	2/2007	Jones et al.
6,779,380 B1	8/2004	Nieuwkamp	7,174,238 B1	2/2007	Zweig
6,781,338 B2	8/2004	Jones et al.	7,188,000 B2	3/2007	Chiappetta et al.
6,809,490 B2	10/2004	Jones et al.	7,193,384 B1	3/2007	Norman et al.
6,810,305 B2	10/2004	Kirkpatrick	7,196,487 B2	3/2007	Jones et al.
6,810,350 B2	10/2004	Blakley	7,201,786 B2	4/2007	Wegelin et al.
6,830,120 B1	12/2004	Yashima et al.	7,206,677 B2	4/2007	Hulden
6,832,407 B2	12/2004	Salem et al.	7,211,980 B1	5/2007	Bruemmer et al.
6,836,701 B2	12/2004	McKee	7,225,500 B2	6/2007	Diehl et al.
6,841,963 B2	1/2005	Song et al.	7,246,405 B2	7/2007	Yan
6,845,297 B2	1/2005	Allard	7,248,951 B2	7/2007	Hulden
6,848,146 B2	2/2005	Wright et al.	7,275,280 B2	10/2007	Haegermarck et al.
6,854,148 B1	2/2005	Rief et al.	7,283,892 B1	10/2007	Boillot et al.
6,856,811 B2	2/2005	Burdue et al.	7,288,912 B2	10/2007	Landry et al.
6,859,010 B2	2/2005	Jeon et al.	7,318,248 B1	1/2008	Yan
6,859,682 B2	2/2005	Naka et al.	7,320,149 B1	1/2008	Huffman et al.
6,860,206 B1	3/2005	Rudakevych et al.	7,321,807 B2	1/2008	Laski
6,865,447 B2	3/2005	Lau et al.	7,324,870 B2	1/2008	Lee
6,870,792 B2	3/2005	Chiappetta	7,328,196 B2	2/2008	Peters
6,871,115 B2	3/2005	Huang et al.	7,332,890 B2	2/2008	Cohen et al.
6,883,201 B2	4/2005	Jones et al.	7,346,428 B1	3/2008	Huffman et al.
6,886,651 B1	5/2005	Slocum et al.	7,352,153 B2	4/2008	Yan
6,888,333 B2	5/2005	Laby	7,359,766 B2	4/2008	Jeon et al.
6,901,624 B2	6/2005	Mori et al.	7,360,277 B2	4/2008	Moshenrose et al.
6,906,702 B1	6/2005	Tanaka et al.	7,363,108 B2	4/2008	Noda et al.
6,914,403 B2	7/2005	Tsurumi	7,388,879 B2	6/2008	Sabe et al.
6,917,854 B2	7/2005	Bayer	7,389,156 B2	6/2008	Ziegler et al.
6,925,357 B2	8/2005	Wang et al.	7,389,166 B2	6/2008	Harwig et al.
6,925,679 B2	8/2005	Wallach et al.	7,408,157 B2	8/2008	Yan
6,929,548 B2	8/2005	Wang	7,418,762 B2	9/2008	Arai et al.
D510,066 S	9/2005	Hickey et al.	7,430,455 B2	9/2008	Casey et al.
6,938,298 B2	9/2005	Aasen	7,430,462 B2	9/2008	Chiu et al.
6,940,291 B1	9/2005	Ozick	7,441,298 B2	10/2008	Svendsen et al.
6,941,199 B1	9/2005	Bottomley et al.	7,444,206 B2	10/2008	Abramson et al.
6,956,348 B2	10/2005	Landry et al.	7,448,113 B2	11/2008	Jones et al.
6,957,712 B2	10/2005	Song et al.	7,459,871 B2	12/2008	Landry et al.
6,960,986 B2	11/2005	Asama et al.	7,467,026 B2	12/2008	Sakagami et al.
6,965,209 B2	11/2005	Jones et al.	7,474,941 B2	1/2009	Kim et al.
6,965,211 B2	11/2005	Tsurumi	7,503,096 B2	3/2009	Lin
6,968,592 B2	11/2005	Takeuchi et al.	7,515,991 B2	4/2009	Egawa et al.
6,971,140 B2	12/2005	Kim	7,539,557 B2	5/2009	Yamauchi
6,975,246 B1	12/2005	Trudeau	7,555,363 B2	6/2009	Augenbraun et al.
6,980,229 B1	12/2005	Ebersole	7,557,703 B2	7/2009	Yamada et al.
6,985,556 B2	1/2006	Shanmugavel et al.	7,568,259 B2	8/2009	Yan
6,993,954 B1	2/2006	George et al.	7,571,511 B2	8/2009	Jones et al.
6,999,850 B2	2/2006	McDonald	7,578,020 B2	8/2009	Jaworski et al.
7,013,527 B2	3/2006	Thomas et al.	7,600,521 B2	10/2009	Woo
7,024,278 B2	4/2006	Chiappetta et al.	7,603,744 B2	10/2009	Reindle
7,024,280 B2	4/2006	Parker et al.	7,611,583 B2	11/2009	Buckley et al.
7,027,893 B2	4/2006	Perry et al.	7,617,557 B2	11/2009	Reindle
7,030,768 B2	4/2006	Wanie	7,620,476 B2	11/2009	Morse et al.
7,031,805 B2	4/2006	Lee et al.	7,636,928 B2	12/2009	Uno
7,032,469 B2	4/2006	Bailey	7,636,982 B2	12/2009	Jones et al.
7,040,869 B2	5/2006	Beenker	7,647,144 B2	1/2010	Haegermarck
			7,650,666 B2	1/2010	Jang
			7,660,650 B2	2/2010	Kawagoe et al.
			7,663,333 B2	2/2010	Jones et al.
			7,693,605 B2	4/2010	Park

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,706,917 B1	4/2010	Chiappetta et al.	2003/0233870 A1	12/2003	Mancevski
7,761,954 B2	7/2010	Ziegler et al.	2003/0233930 A1	12/2003	Ozick
7,765,635 B2	8/2010	Park	2004/0016077 A1	1/2004	Song et al.
7,784,147 B2	8/2010	Burkholder et al.	2004/0020000 A1	2/2004	Jones
7,801,645 B2	9/2010	Taylor et al.	2004/0030448 A1	2/2004	Solomon
7,805,220 B2	9/2010	Taylor et al.	2004/0030449 A1	2/2004	Solomon
7,809,944 B2	10/2010	Kawamoto	2004/0030450 A1	2/2004	Solomon
7,832,048 B2	11/2010	Harwig et al.	2004/0030451 A1	2/2004	Solomon
7,849,555 B2	12/2010	Hahm et al.	2004/0030570 A1	2/2004	Solomon
7,853,645 B2	12/2010	Brown et al.	2004/0030571 A1	2/2004	Solomon
7,860,680 B2	12/2010	Arms et al.	2004/0031113 A1	2/2004	Wosewick et al.
7,920,941 B2	4/2011	Park et al.	2004/0049877 A1	3/2004	Jones et al.
7,937,800 B2	5/2011	Yan	2004/0055163 A1	3/2004	McCambridge et al.
7,957,836 B2	6/2011	Myeong et al.	2004/0068351 A1	4/2004	Solomon
8,087,117 B2	1/2012	Kapoor et al.	2004/0068415 A1	4/2004	Solomon
2001/0004719 A1	6/2001	Sommer	2004/0068416 A1	4/2004	Solomon
2001/0013929 A1	8/2001	Torsten	2004/0074038 A1	4/2004	Im et al.
2001/0020200 A1	9/2001	Das et al.	2004/0074044 A1	4/2004	Diehl et al.
2001/0025183 A1	9/2001	Shahidi	2004/0076324 A1	4/2004	Burl et al.
2001/0037163 A1	11/2001	Allard	2004/0083570 A1	5/2004	Song et al.
2001/0043509 A1	11/2001	Green et al.	2004/0085037 A1	5/2004	Jones et al.
2001/0045883 A1	11/2001	Holdaway et al.	2004/0088079 A1	5/2004	Lavarec et al.
2001/0047231 A1	11/2001	Peless et al.	2004/0093122 A1	5/2004	Galibraith
2001/0047895 A1	12/2001	De Fazio et al.	2004/0098167 A1	5/2004	Yi et al.
2002/0011367 A1	1/2002	Kolesnik	2004/0111184 A1	6/2004	Chiappetta et al.
2002/0011813 A1	1/2002	Koselka et al.	2004/0111821 A1	6/2004	Lenkiewicz et al.
2002/0016649 A1	2/2002	Jones	2004/0113777 A1	6/2004	Matsuhira et al.
2002/0021219 A1	2/2002	Edwards	2004/0117064 A1	6/2004	McDonald
2002/0027652 A1	3/2002	Paromtchik et al.	2004/0117846 A1	6/2004	Karaoguz et al.
2002/0036779 A1	3/2002	Kiyoi et al.	2004/0118998 A1	6/2004	Wingett et al.
2002/0081937 A1	6/2002	Yamada et al.	2004/0128028 A1	7/2004	Miyamoto et al.
2002/0095239 A1	7/2002	Wallach et al.	2004/0133316 A1	7/2004	Dean
2002/0097400 A1	7/2002	Jung et al.	2004/0134336 A1	7/2004	Solomon
2002/0104963 A1	8/2002	Mancevski	2004/0134337 A1	7/2004	Solomon
2002/0108209 A1	8/2002	Peterson	2004/0143919 A1	7/2004	Wilder
2002/0112742 A1	8/2002	Bredo et al.	2004/0148419 A1	7/2004	Chen et al.
2002/0113973 A1	8/2002	Ge	2004/0148731 A1	8/2004	Damman et al.
2002/0116089 A1	8/2002	Kirkpatrick	2004/0153212 A1	8/2004	Profio et al.
2002/0120364 A1	8/2002	Colens	2004/0156541 A1	8/2004	Jeon et al.
2002/0124343 A1	9/2002	Reed	2004/0158357 A1	8/2004	Lee et al.
2002/0153185 A1	10/2002	Song et al.	2004/0181706 A1	9/2004	Chen et al.
2002/0156556 A1	10/2002	Ruffner	2004/0187249 A1	9/2004	Jones et al.
2002/0159051 A1	10/2002	Guo	2004/0187457 A1	9/2004	Colens
2002/0166193 A1	11/2002	Kasper	2004/0196451 A1	10/2004	Aoyama
2002/0169521 A1	11/2002	Goodman et al.	2004/0200505 A1	10/2004	Taylor et al.
2002/0173877 A1	11/2002	Zweig	2004/0201361 A1	10/2004	Koh et al.
2002/0189871 A1	12/2002	Won	2004/0204792 A1	10/2004	Taylor et al.
2003/0009259 A1	1/2003	Hattori et al.	2004/0204804 A1	10/2004	Lee et al.
2003/0015232 A1	1/2003	Nguyen	2004/0210345 A1	10/2004	Noda et al.
2003/0019071 A1	1/2003	Field et al.	2004/0210347 A1	10/2004	Sawada et al.
2003/0023356 A1	1/2003	Keable	2004/0211444 A1	10/2004	Taylor et al.
2003/0024986 A1	2/2003	Mazz et al.	2004/0221790 A1	11/2004	Sinclair et al.
2003/0025472 A1	2/2003	Jones et al.	2004/0236468 A1	11/2004	Taylor et al.
2003/0028286 A1	2/2003	Glenn et al.	2004/0244138 A1	12/2004	Taylor et al.
2003/0030399 A1	2/2003	Jacobs	2004/0255425 A1	12/2004	Arai et al.
2003/0058262 A1	3/2003	Sato et al.	2005/0000543 A1	1/2005	Taylor et al.
2003/0060928 A1	3/2003	Abramson et al.	2005/0010330 A1	1/2005	Abramson et al.
2003/0067451 A1	4/2003	Tagg et al.	2005/0010331 A1	1/2005	Taylor et al.
2003/0097875 A1	5/2003	Lentz et al.	2005/0015920 A1	1/2005	Kim et al.
2003/0120389 A1	6/2003	Abramson et al.	2005/0021181 A1	1/2005	Kim et al.
2003/0124312 A1	7/2003	Autumn	2005/0028316 A1	2/2005	Thomas et al.
2003/0126352 A1	7/2003	Barrett	2005/0053912 A1	3/2005	Roth et al.
2003/0137268 A1	7/2003	Papanikolopoulos et al.	2005/0055796 A1	3/2005	Wright et al.
2003/0146384 A1	8/2003	Logsdon et al.	2005/0067994 A1	3/2005	Jones et al.
2003/0159232 A1	8/2003	Hekman et al.	2005/0081782 A1	4/2005	Buckley et al.
2003/0168081 A1	9/2003	Lee et al.	2005/0085947 A1	4/2005	Aldred et al.
2003/0175138 A1	9/2003	Beenker	2005/0091782 A1	5/2005	Gordon et al.
2003/0192144 A1	10/2003	Song et al.	2005/0091786 A1	5/2005	Wright et al.
2003/0193657 A1	10/2003	Uomori et al.	2005/0137749 A1	6/2005	Jeon et al.
2003/0216834 A1	11/2003	Allard	2005/0144751 A1	7/2005	Kegg et al.
2003/0221114 A1	11/2003	Hino et al.	2005/0150074 A1	7/2005	Diehl et al.
2003/0229421 A1	12/2003	Chmura et al.	2005/0150519 A1	7/2005	Keppeler et al.
2003/0229474 A1	12/2003	Suzuki et al.	2005/0154795 A1	7/2005	Kuz et al.
2003/0233171 A1	12/2003	Heiligensetzer	2005/0156562 A1	7/2005	Cohen et al.
2003/0233177 A1	12/2003	Johnson et al.	2005/0162119 A1	7/2005	Landry et al.
			2005/0163119 A1	7/2005	Ito et al.
			2005/0165508 A1	7/2005	Kanda et al.
			2005/0166354 A1	8/2005	Uehigashi
			2005/0166355 A1	8/2005	Tani

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2005/0171644	A1	8/2005	Tani	2007/0179670	A1	8/2007	Chiappetta et al.
2005/0172445	A1	8/2005	Diehl et al.	2007/0226949	A1	10/2007	Hahm et al.
2005/0183229	A1	8/2005	Uehigashi	2007/0234492	A1	10/2007	Svendsen et al.
2005/0183230	A1	8/2005	Uehigashi	2007/0244610	A1	10/2007	Ozick et al.
2005/0187678	A1	8/2005	Myeong et al.	2007/0245511	A1	10/2007	Hahm et al.
2005/0192707	A1	9/2005	Park et al.	2007/0250212	A1	10/2007	Halloran et al.
2005/0204717	A1	9/2005	Colens	2007/0261193	A1	11/2007	Gordon et al.
2005/0209736	A1	9/2005	Kawagoe	2007/0266508	A1	11/2007	Jones et al.
2005/0211880	A1	9/2005	Schell et al.	2008/0007203	A1	1/2008	Cohen et al.
2005/0212929	A1	9/2005	Schell et al.	2008/0039974	A1	2/2008	Sandin et al.
2005/0213082	A1	9/2005	DiBernardo et al.	2008/0052846	A1	3/2008	Kapoor et al.
2005/0213109	A1	9/2005	Schell et al.	2008/0091304	A1	4/2008	Ozick et al.
2005/0217042	A1	10/2005	Reindle	2008/0109126	A1	5/2008	Sandin et al.
2005/0218852	A1	10/2005	Landry et al.	2008/0134458	A1	6/2008	Ziegler et al.
2005/0222933	A1	10/2005	Wesby	2008/0140255	A1	6/2008	Ziegler et al.
2005/0229340	A1	10/2005	Sawalski et al.	2008/0155768	A1	7/2008	Ziegler et al.
2005/0229355	A1	10/2005	Crouch et al.	2008/0184518	A1	8/2008	Taylor et al.
2005/0235451	A1	10/2005	Yan	2008/0266748	A1	10/2008	Lee
2005/0251292	A1	11/2005	Casey et al.	2008/0276407	A1	11/2008	Schnittman et al.
2005/0255425	A1	11/2005	Pierson	2008/0281470	A1	11/2008	Gilbert et al.
2005/0258154	A1	11/2005	Blankenship et al.	2008/0282494	A1	11/2008	Won et al.
2005/0273967	A1	12/2005	Taylor et al.	2008/0294288	A1	11/2008	Yamauchi
2005/0288819	A1	12/2005	De Guzman	2008/0302586	A1	12/2008	Yan
2006/0000050	A1	1/2006	Cipolla et al.	2008/0307590	A1	12/2008	Jones et al.
2006/0009879	A1	1/2006	Lynch et al.	2009/0007366	A1	1/2009	Svendsen et al.
2006/0010638	A1	1/2006	Shimizu et al.	2009/0038089	A1	2/2009	Landry et al.
2006/0020369	A1	1/2006	Taylor et al.	2009/0048727	A1	2/2009	Hong et al.
2006/0020370	A1	1/2006	Abramson	2009/0049640	A1	2/2009	Lee et al.
2006/0021168	A1	2/2006	Nishikawa	2009/0055022	A1	2/2009	Casey et al.
2006/0025134	A1	2/2006	Cho et al.	2009/0102296	A1	4/2009	Greene et al.
2006/0037170	A1	2/2006	Shimizu	2009/0292393	A1	11/2009	Casey et al.
2006/0042042	A1	3/2006	Mertes et al.	2010/0006028	A1	1/2010	Buckley et al.
2006/0044546	A1	3/2006	Lewin et al.	2010/0011529	A1	1/2010	Won et al.
2006/0060216	A1	3/2006	Woo	2010/0049365	A1	2/2010	Jones et al.
2006/0061657	A1	3/2006	Rew et al.	2010/0063628	A1	3/2010	Landry et al.
2006/0064828	A1	3/2006	Stein et al.	2010/0082193	A1	4/2010	Chiappetta
2006/0087273	A1	4/2006	Ko et al.	2010/0107355	A1	5/2010	Won et al.
2006/0089765	A1	4/2006	Pack et al.	2010/0257690	A1	10/2010	Jones et al.
2006/0100741	A1	5/2006	Jung	2010/0257691	A1	10/2010	Jones et al.
2006/0107894	A1	5/2006	Buckley et al.	2010/0263158	A1	10/2010	Jones et al.
2006/0119839	A1	6/2006	Bertin et al.	2010/0268384	A1	10/2010	Jones et al.
2006/0143295	A1	6/2006	Costa-Requena et al.	2010/0293742	A1	11/2010	Chung et al.
2006/0146776	A1	7/2006	Kim	2010/0312429	A1	12/2010	Jones et al.
2006/0150361	A1	7/2006	Aldred et al.				
2006/0184293	A1	8/2006	Konandreas et al.				
2006/0185690	A1	8/2006	Song et al.				
2006/0190133	A1	8/2006	Konandreas et al.				
2006/0190134	A1	8/2006	Ziegler et al.				
2006/0190146	A1	8/2006	Morse et al.				
2006/0196003	A1	9/2006	Song et al.				
2006/0200281	A1	9/2006	Ziegler et al.				
2006/0220900	A1	10/2006	Ceskutti et al.				
2006/0229774	A1	10/2006	Park et al.				
2006/0241827	A1	10/2006	Fukuchi et al.				
2006/0259194	A1	11/2006	Chiu				
2006/0259494	A1	11/2006	Watson et al.				
2006/0278161	A1	12/2006	Burkholder et al.				
2006/0288519	A1	12/2006	Jaworski et al.				
2006/0293787	A1	12/2006	Kanda et al.				
2006/0293808	A1	12/2006	Qian				
2007/0006404	A1	1/2007	Cheng et al.				
2007/0016328	A1	1/2007	Ziegler et al.				
2007/0017061	A1	1/2007	Yan				
2007/0028574	A1	2/2007	Yan				
2007/0032904	A1	2/2007	Kawagoe et al.				
2007/0042716	A1	2/2007	Goodall et al.				
2007/0043459	A1	2/2007	Abbott et al.				
2007/0061041	A1	3/2007	Zweig				
2007/0061043	A1	3/2007	Ermakov et al.				
2007/0114975	A1	5/2007	Cohen et al.				
2007/0142964	A1	6/2007	Abramson				
2007/0150096	A1	6/2007	Yeh et al.				
2007/0156286	A1	7/2007	Yamauchi				
2007/0157415	A1	7/2007	Lee et al.				
2007/0157420	A1	7/2007	Lee et al.				

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	3536907	C2	2/1989
DE	3404202	C2	12/1992
DE	199311014	U1	10/1993
DE	4338841	A1	5/1995
DE	4414683	A1	10/1995
DE	19849978		2/2001
DE	102004038074		6/2005
DE	10357636		7/2005
DE	102004041021	B3	8/2005
DE	102005046813	A1	4/2007
DK	338988	A	12/1988
EP	0265542	A1	5/1988
EP	0281085	A2	9/1988
EP	0286328	A1	10/1988
EP	0294101	A2	12/1988
EP	0352045	A2	1/1990
EP	0433697	A2	6/1991
EP	0437024	A1	7/1991
EP	0554978	A2	8/1993
EP	0615719	A1	9/1994
EP	0792726	A1	9/1997
EP	0930040	A2	7/1999
EP	0845237	B1	4/2000
EP	0861629	B1	9/2001
EP	1228734	A	8/2002
EP	1380245	A1	1/2004
EP	1380246	A2	1/2004
EP	1018315	B1	11/2004
EP	1553472	A1	7/2005
EP	1557730	A1	7/2005
EP	1642522	A2	4/2006
EP	1836941	A2	9/2007
ES	2238196	A1	8/2005



(56)

## References Cited

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(56)	References Cited			JP	6293095	A	10/1994
				JP	06327 598	A	11/1994
	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS			JP	7047046	A	2/1995
				JP	07129239	A	5/1995
				JP	7059702	B	6/1995
FR	722755		3/1932	JP	07222705	A2	8/1995
FR	2601443	A1	1/1988	JP	7270518	A	10/1995
FR	2828589	A1	2/2003	JP	7281742		10/1995
GB	702426		1/1954	JP	7311041		11/1995
GB	2128842	A	5/1984	JP	7313417	A	12/1995
GB	2213047		8/1989	JP	7319542		12/1995
GB	2225221	A	5/1990	JP	8000393	A	1/1996
GB	2267360	A	12/1993	JP	8016241		1/1996
GB	2283838	A	5/1995	JP	8016776	A	1/1996
GB	2284957	A	6/1995	JP	8063229		3/1996
GB	2300082	A	10/1996	JP	8083125		3/1996
GB	2344747	A	6/2000	JP	8084696	A	4/1996
GB	2404330	A	2/2005	JP	8089449	A	4/1996
GB	2417354	A	2/2006	JP	08089451	A	4/1996
JP	53021869		2/1978	JP	2520732		5/1996
JP	53110257	A2	9/1978	JP	8123548	A	5/1996
JP	57014726		1/1982	JP	8152916		6/1996
JP	57064217		4/1982	JP	8152916	A	6/1996
JP	59005315		1/1984	JP	8256960		10/1996
JP	59033511	U	3/1984	JP	8263137	A	10/1996
JP	59094005		5/1984	JP	8286741		11/1996
JP	59099308		6/1984	JP	8286744		11/1996
JP	59112311		6/1984	JP	8322774		12/1996
JP	59120124	A	7/1984	JP	8335112	A	12/1996
JP	59131668	U	9/1984	JP	8339297	A	12/1996
JP	59164973		9/1984	JP	943901		2/1997
JP	59184917	A	10/1984	JP	9044240	A	2/1997
JP	2283343	A2	11/1984	JP	9066855	A	3/1997
JP	59212924		12/1984	JP	9145309	A	6/1997
JP	59226909		12/1984	JP	09160644	A	6/1997
JP	60089213	A	5/1985	JP	09179625	A	7/1997
JP	60211510	A	10/1985	JP	9179685		7/1997
JP	60259895		12/1985	JP	09185410		7/1997
JP	61023221		1/1986	JP	9192069	A	7/1997
JP	61097712	A	5/1986	JP	2555263	Y2	8/1997
JP	61160366		7/1986	JP	9204223	A	8/1997
JP	62070709		4/1987	JP	09206258	A	8/1997
JP	62074018	A	4/1987	JP	09233712	A	9/1997
JP	62120510	A	6/1987	JP	09251318		9/1997
JP	62154008		7/1987	JP	9265319	A	10/1997
JP	62164431		7/1987	JP	9269807	A	10/1997
JP	62263507	A	11/1987	JP	9269810	A	10/1997
JP	62263508	A	11/1987	JP	9319431	A1	12/1997
JP	62189057	U	12/1987	JP	9319432	A	12/1997
JP	63079623	A	4/1988	JP	9319434	A	12/1997
JP	63158032		7/1988	JP	9325812	A	12/1997
JP	63203483	A	8/1988	JP	10055215	A	2/1998
JP	63241610	A	10/1988	JP	10117973	A	5/1998
JP	1118752		8/1989	JP	10118963	A	5/1998
JP	2-6312	U	1/1990	JP	10165738	A	6/1998
JP	3051023	A	3/1991	JP	10177414	A	6/1998
JP	4019586		1/1992	JP	10214114		8/1998
JP	4074285	A	3/1992	JP	10228316		8/1998
JP	4084921	A	3/1992	JP	10240342		9/1998
JP	5023269	A	2/1993	JP	10260727		9/1998
JP	5042076	A	2/1993	JP	10295595	A	11/1998
JP	5046246		2/1993	JP	10314088		12/1998
JP	5091604	A	4/1993	JP	11015941	A	1/1999
JP	5095879	A	4/1993	JP	11065655		3/1999
JP	5150827	A	6/1993	JP	11085269		3/1999
JP	5150829	A	6/1993	JP	11102219		4/1999
JP	5054620	U	7/1993	JP	11102220	A	4/1999
JP	5040519	Y2	10/1993	JP	11162454	A	6/1999
JP	05257527	A	10/1993	JP	11174145	A	7/1999
JP	5257533		10/1993	JP	11175149	A	7/1999
JP	05285861	A	11/1993	JP	11178765	A	7/1999
JP	5302836	A	11/1993	JP	114008764	A	7/1999
JP	5312514	A	11/1993	JP	11212642	A	8/1999
JP	05046239	Y2	12/1993	JP	11213157	A	8/1999
JP	5341904	A	12/1993	JP	11282532	A	10/1999
JP	6003251	U	1/1994	JP	11282533	A	10/1999
JP	6038912		2/1994	JP	11295412	A	10/1999
JP	6105781	A	4/1994	JP	11346964		12/1999
JP	6137828	A	5/1994	JP	2000047728		2/2000
JP	6154143	A	6/1994				

(56)

## References Cited

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP	2000056006	A	2/2000	JP	2006227673	A	8/2006
JP	2000056831	A	2/2000	JP	2006247467	A	9/2006
JP	2000060782	A	2/2000	JP	2006260161	A	9/2006
JP	2000066722	A	3/2000	JP	2006293662	A	10/2006
JP	2000075925	A	3/2000	JP	2006296697	A	11/2006
JP	2000102499	A	4/2000	JP	2007034866	A	2/2007
JP	10240343		5/2000	JP	2007213180	A	8/2007
JP	2000275321		10/2000	JP	2009015611	A	1/2009
JP	2000279353	A	10/2000	JP	2010198552	A	9/2010
JP	2000353014	A	12/2000	WO	9526512	A1	10/1995
JP	2001022443	A	1/2001	WO	9530887	A1	11/1995
JP	2001067588		3/2001	WO	9617258	A1	6/1996
JP	2001087182		4/2001	WO	9715224	A1	5/1997
JP	2001121455		5/2001	WO	9740734	A1	11/1997
JP	2001125641		5/2001	WO	9741451	A1	11/1997
JP	2001508572	A	6/2001	WO	9853456	A1	11/1998
JP	2001197008	A	7/2001	WO	9905580	A2	2/1999
JP	3197758	B2	8/2001	WO	9916078	A1	4/1999
JP	3201903	B2	8/2001	WO	9938056	A1	7/1999
JP	2001216482	A	8/2001	WO	9938237	A1	7/1999
JP	2001258807		9/2001	WO	9943250	A1	9/1999
JP	2001265437	A	9/2001	WO	0038026	A1	6/2000
JP	2001275908	A	10/2001	WO	0038028	A1	6/2000
JP	2001289939		10/2001	WO	0038029	A1	6/2000
JP	2001306170	A	11/2001	WO	0004430	A1	10/2000
JP	2002073170	A	3/2002	WO	0078410	A1	12/2000
JP	2002078650	A	3/2002	WO	0106904	A1	2/2001
JP	2002204768	A	7/2002	WO	0106905	A1	2/2001
JP	2002204769	A	7/2002	WO	0180703	A1	11/2001
JP	2002247510	A	8/2002	WO	0191623	A2	12/2001
JP	2002532180	A	10/2002	WO	0224292	A1	3/2002
JP	2002323925		11/2002	WO	0239864	A1	5/2002
JP	2002333920	A	11/2002	WO	0239868	A1	5/2002
JP	2002355206		12/2002	WO	02058527	A1	8/2002
JP	2002360471	A	12/2002	WO	02062194	A1	8/2002
JP	2002360482	A	12/2002	WO	02067744	A1	9/2002
JP	2002366227		12/2002	WO	02067745	A1	9/2002
JP	2002369778		12/2002	WO	02067752	A1	9/2002
JP	2003005296	A	1/2003	WO	02069774	A1	9/2002
JP	2003010076	A	1/2003	WO	02069775	A2	9/2002
JP	2003010088	A	1/2003	WO	02071175	A1	9/2002
JP	2003028528	A	1/2003	WO	02074150	A1	9/2002
JP	2003036116	A	2/2003	WO	02075356	A1	9/2002
JP	2003038401	A	2/2003	WO	02075469	A1	9/2002
JP	2003038402	A	2/2003	WO	02075470	A1	9/2002
JP	2003047579		2/2003	WO	2002075350	A1	9/2002
JP	2003061882	A	3/2003	WO	02081074	A1	10/2002
JP	2003084994		3/2003	WO	02101477	A2	12/2002
JP	2003167628	A	6/2003	WO	03015220	A1	2/2003
JP	2003180586	A	7/2003	WO	03024292	A2	3/2003
JP	2003180587	A	7/2003	WO	03040546	A1	5/2003
JP	2003186539	A	7/2003	WO	03040845	A1	5/2003
JP	2003190064	A	7/2003	WO	03040846	A1	5/2003
JP	2003241836	A	8/2003	WO	03062850	A2	7/2003
JP	2003262520	A	9/2003	WO	03062852	A1	7/2003
JP	2003304992	A	10/2003	WO	2004004533	A1	1/2004
JP	2003310509	A	11/2003	WO	2004004534	A1	1/2004
JP	2003330543	A	11/2003	WO	2004006034	A2	1/2004
JP	2004123040	A	4/2004	WO	2004025947	A2	3/2004
JP	2004148021	A	5/2004	WO	2004058028	A2	7/2004
JP	2004160102	A	6/2004	WO	2004059409	A1	7/2004
JP	2004166968	A	6/2004	WO	2005006935	A1	1/2005
JP	2004198330	A	7/2004	WO	2005037496	A1	4/2005
JP	2004219185		8/2004	WO	2005055795	A1	6/2005
JP	2004351234	A	12/2004	WO	2005055796	A2	6/2005
JP	2005118354	A	5/2005	WO	2005076545	A1	8/2005
JP	2005211360	A	8/2005	WO	2005077243	A1	8/2005
JP	2005224265	A	8/2005	WO	2005077244	A1	8/2005
JP	2005230032	A	9/2005	WO	2005081074	A1	9/2005
JP	2005245916	A	9/2005	WO	2005082223		9/2005
JP	2005346700		12/2005	WO	2005083541	A1	9/2005
JP	2005352707	A	12/2005	WO	2005098475	A1	10/2005
JP	2006043071	A	2/2006	WO	2005098476	A1	10/2005
JP	2006155274	A	6/2006	WO	2006046400	A1	5/2006
JP	2006164223	A	6/2006	WO	2006061133	A1	6/2006
				WO	2006068403	A1	6/2006
				WO	2006073248	A1	7/2006
				WO	2006089307	A2	8/2006
				WO	2007028049	A2	3/2007

(56)

## References Cited

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO 2007036490 A2 4/2007  
 WO 2007065033 A2 6/2007  
 WO 2007137234 A2 11/2007

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Ascii, Mar. 25, 2002, <http://ascii.jp/elem/000/000/330/330024/>, accessed Nov. 2011, 15 pages. (with English translation).
- Barker, "Navigation by the Stars—Ben Barker 4th Year Project," Nov. 2004, 20 pages.
- Becker et al., "Reliable Navigation Using Landmarks," IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 0-7803-1965-6, pp. 401-406, 1995.
- Benayad-Cherif et al., "Mobile Robot Navigation Sensors," SPIE vol. 1831 Mobile Robots, VII, pp. 378-387, 1992.
- Betke et al. "Mobile robot localization using landmarks," Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ/GI International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems '94 Advanced Robotic Systems and the Real World (IROS '94), Accessed via IEEE Xplore, 1994, 8 pages.
- Bison et al., "Using a structured beacon for cooperative position estimation," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 29(1):33-40, Oct. 1999.
- Blaasvaer et al., "AMOR—An Autonomous Mobile Robot Navigation System," Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, pp. 2266-2271, 1994.
- Borges et al., "Optimal Mobile Robot Pose Estimation Using Geometrical Maps," IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation, 18(1): 87-94, Feb. 2002.
- Braunstingl et al., "Fuzzy Logic Wall Following of a Mobile Robot Based on the Concept of General Perception," ICAR '95, 7th International Conference on Advanced Robotics, Sant Feliu De Guixols, Spain, pp. 367-376, Sep. 1995.
- Bulusu et al., "Self Configuring Localization systems: Design and Experimental Evaluation," *ACM Transactions on Embedded Computing Systems*, 3(1):24-60, 2003.
- Caccia et al., "Bottom-Following for Remotely Operated Vehicles," 5th IFAC Conference, Alaborg, Denmark, pp. 245-250, Aug. 2000.
- U.S. Appl. No. 60/605,066 as provided to WIPO in PCT/US2005/030422, corresponding to U.S. Appl. No. 11/574,290, U.S. publication 2008/0184518, filed Aug. 27, 2004.
- U.S. Appl. No. 60/605,181 as provided to WIPO in PCT/US2005/030422, corresponding to U.S. Appl. No. 11/574,290, U.S. publication 2008/0184518, filed Aug. 27, 2004.
- Chae et al., "StarLITE: A new artificial landmark for the navigation of mobile robots," <http://www.irc.atr.jp/jk-nrs2005/pdf/Starlite.pdf>, 4 pages, 2005.
- Chamberlin et al., "Team 1: Robot Locator Beacon System," NASA Goddard SFC, Design Proposal, 15 pages, Feb. 2006.
- Champy, "Physical management of IT assets in Data Centers using RFID technologies," RFID 2005 University, Oct. 12-14, 2005, 19 pages.
- Chin, "Joystick Control for Tiny OS Robot," <http://www.eecs.berkeley.edu/Programs/ugrad/superb/papers2002/chiri.pdf>, 12 pages, Aug. 2002.
- Christensen et al. "Theoretical Methods for Planning and Control in Mobile Robotics," 1997 First International Conference on Knowledge-Based Intelligent Electronic Systems, Adelaide, Australia, pp. 81-86, May 1997.
- CleanMate 365, Intelligent Automatic Vacuum Cleaner, Model No. QQ-1, User Manual [www.metapo.com/support/user\\_manual.pdf](http://www.metapo.com/support/user_manual.pdf) Dec. 2005, 11 pages.
- Clarentin et al., "A localization method based on two omnidirectional perception systems cooperation," *Proc of IEEE International Conference on Robotics & Automation*, San Francisco, CA vol. 2, pp. 1219-1224, Apr. 2000.
- Corke, "High Performance Visual serving for robots end-point control," SPIE vol. 2056, Intelligent Robots and Computer Vision, 1993, 10 pages.
- Cozman et al., "Robot Localization using a Computer Vision Sextant," IEEE International Midwest Conference on Robotics and Automation, pp. 106-111, 1995.
- D'Orazio et al., "Model based Vision System for mobile robot position estimation", *SPIE*, vol. 2058 Mobile Robots VIII, pp. 38-49, 1992.
- De Bakker et al., "Smart PSD—array for sheet of light range imaging", *Proc. of SPIE*, vol. 3965, pp. 1-12, May 2000.
- Denning Roboscrub image (1989), 1 page.
- Desaulniers et al., "An Efficient Algorithm to find a shortest path for a car-like Robot," *IEEE Transactions on robotics and Automation*, 11(6):819-828, Dec. 1995.
- Dorfmueller-Ulhaas, "Optical Tracking From User Motion to 3D Interaction," <http://www.cg.tuwien.ac.at/research/publications/2002/Dorfmueller-Ulhaas-thesis>, 182 pages, 2002.
- Dorsch et al., "Laser Triangulation: Fundamental uncertainty in distance measurement," *Applied Optics*, 33(7):1306-1314, Mar. 1994.
- Doty et al., "Sweep Strategies for a Sensory-Driven, Behavior-Based Vacuum Cleaning Agent," AAAI 1993 Fall Symposium Series, Instantiating Real-World Agents, pp. 1-6, Oct. 22-24, 1993.
- Dudek et al., "Localizing a Robot with Minimum Travel" *Proceedings of the sixth annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, 27(2):583-604, Apr. 1998.
- Dulimarta et al., "Mobile Robot Localization in Indoor Environment", *Pattern Recognition*, 30(1):99-111, 1997.
- Dyson's Robot Vacuum Cleaner—the DC06, May 2004, Retrieved from the Internet: URL<<http://www.gizmag.com/go/1282/>>. Accessed Nov. 2011, 3 pages.
- EBay, "Roomba Timer—> Timed Cleaning—Floorvac Robotic Vacuum," Retrieved from the Internet: URL Cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayIAP.dll?viewitem&category=43526&item=4375198387&rd=1, 5 pages, Apr. 2005.
- Electrolux Trilobite, "Time to enjoy life," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<[http://www.robocon.co.kr/trilobite/Presentation\\_Trilobite\\_Kor\\_030104.ppt](http://www.robocon.co.kr/trilobite/Presentation_Trilobite_Kor_030104.ppt)>, 26 pages, accessed Dec. 2011.
- Electrolux Trilobite, Jan. 12, 2001, <http://www.electroluxui.com:8080/2002%5C822%5C833102EN.pdf>, accessed Jul. 2, 2012, 10 pages.
- Electrolux, "Designed for the well-lived home," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<<http://www.electroluxusa.com/node57.as?currentURL=node142.asp%3F>>. Accessed Mar. 2005, 2 pages.
- Eren et al., "Accuracy in position estimation of mobile robots based on coded infrared signal transmission," Proceedings: Integrating Intelligent Instrumentation and Control, Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference, 1995, IMTC/95. pp. 548-551, 1995.
- Eren et al., "Operation of Mobile Robots in a Structured Infrared Environment," Proceedings 'Sensing, Processing, Networking', IEEE Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference, 1997 (IMTC/97), Ottawa, Canada vol. 1, pp. 20-25, May 1997.
- Euroflex Intelligente Monstre, (English excerpt only), 2006, 15 pages.
- Euroflex, Jan. 2006, Retrieved from the Internet: URL<[http://www.euroflex.tv/novita\\_dett.php?id=15](http://www.euroflex.tv/novita_dett.php?id=15)>, accessed Nov. 2011, 1 page.
- eVac Robotic Vacuum S1727 Instruction Manual, Sharper Image Corp, Copyright 2004, 16 pages.
- Everyday Robots, "Everyday Robots: Reviews, Discussion and News for Consumers," Aug. 2004, Retrieved from the Internet: URL<[www.everydayrobots.com/index.php?option=content&task=view&id=9](http://www.everydayrobots.com/index.php?option=content&task=view&id=9)> (Sep. 2012), 4 pages.
- Evolution Robotics, "NorthStar—Low-cost Indoor Localization—How it Works," E Evolution Robotics, 2 pages, 2005.
- Facchinetti Claudio et al., "Self-Positioning Robot Navigation Using Ceiling Images Sequences," ACCV '95, 5 pages, Dec. 1995.
- Facchinetti Claudio et al., "Using and Learning Vision-Based Self-Positioning for Autonomous Robot Navigation," ICARCV '94, vol. 3, pp. 1694-1698, 1994.
- Facts on Trilobite, webpage, Retrieved from the Internet: URL<[http://trilobiteelectroluxse/presskit\\_en/model11335asp?print=yes&pressID=>](http://trilobiteelectroluxse/presskit_en/model11335asp?print=yes&pressID=>)>. 2 pages, accessed Dec. 2003.
- Fairfield et al., "Mobile Robot Localization with Sparse Landmarks," SPIE vol. 4573, pp. 148-155, 2002.

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Favre-Bulle, "Efficient tracking of 3D—Robot Position by Dynamic Triangulation," IEEE Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference IMTC 98 Session on Instrumentation and Measurement in Robotics, vol. 1, pp. 446-449, May 1998.
- Fayman, "Exploiting Process Integration and Composition in the context of Active Vision," IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics—Part C: Application and reviews, vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 73-86, Feb. 1999.
- Floorbot GE Plastics—Image, available at <http://www.fuseid.com/>, 1989-1990, Accessed Sep. 2012, 1 page.
- Floorbotics, VR8 Floor Cleaning Robot, Product Description for Manufacturing, URL: <http://www.consensus.sem.au/SoftwareAwards/CSAarchive/CSA2004/CSAart04/FloorBot/F>, Mar. 2004, 11 pages.
- Franz et al., "Biomimetic robot navigation", Robotics and Autonomous Systems, vol. 30 pp. 133-153, 2000.
- Friendly Robotics, "Friendly Robotics—Friendly Vac, Robotic Vacuum Cleaner," Retrieved from the Internet: URL< [www.friendlyrobotics.com/vac.htm](http://www.friendlyrobotics.com/vac.htm) > 5 pages, Apr. 2005.
- Friendly Robotics, Retrieved from the Internet: URL<<http://www.robotsandrelax.com/PDFs/RV400Manual.pdf>>. 18 pages, accessed Dec. 2011.
- Fuentes et al., "Mobile Robotics 1994," University of Rochester. Computer Science Department, TR 588, 44 pages, Dec. 1994.
- Fukuda et al., "Navigation System based on Ceiling Landmark Recognition for Autonomous mobile robot," 1995 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems 95. 'Human Robot Interaction and Cooperative Robots', Pittsburgh, PA, pp. 1466/1471, Aug. 1995.
- Gat, "Robust Low-Computation Sensor-driven Control for Task-Directed Navigation," Proc of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Sacramento, CA pp. 2484-2489, Apr. 1991.
- Gionis, "A hand-held optical surface scanner for environmental Modeling and Virtual Reality," Virtual Reality World, 16 pages, 1996.
- Goncalves et al., "A Visual Front-End for Simultaneous Localization and Mapping," Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Barcelona, Spain, pp. 44-49, Apr. 2005.
- Gregg et al., "Autonomous Lawn Care Applications," 2006 Florida Conference on Recent Advances in Robotics, Miami, Florida, May 25-26, 2006, Florida International University, 5 pages.
- Grumet, "Robots Clean House," Popular Mechanics, Nov. 2003, 3 pages.
- Hamamatsu "SI PIN Diode S5980, S5981 S5870—Multi-element photodiodes for surface mounting," Hamatsu Photonics, 2 pages, Apr. 2004.
- Hammacher Schlemmer, "Electrolux Trilobite Robotic Vacuum," Retrieved from the Internet: URL< [www.hammacher.com/publish/71579.asp?promo=xsells](http://www.hammacher.com/publish/71579.asp?promo=xsells)>. 3 pages, Mar. 2005.
- Haralick et al. "Pose Estimation from Corresponding Point Data", IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, 19(6):1426-1446, Nov. 1989.
- Hausler, "About the Scaling Behaviour of Optical Range Sensors," Fringe '97, Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Automatic Processing of Fringe Patterns, Bremen, Germany, pp. 147-155, Sep. 1997.
- Hitachi, [http://www.hitachi.co.jp/New/cnews/hi\\_hi030529\\_hi030529.pdf](http://www.hitachi.co.jp/New/cnews/hi_hi030529_hi030529.pdf), 8 pages, May 29, 2003.
- Hitachi: News release: "The home cleaning robot of the autonomous movement type (experimental machine)," Retrieved from the Internet: URL< [www.i4u.com/japanreleases/hitachirobot.htm](http://www.i4u.com/japanreleases/hitachirobot.htm)>. 5 pages, Mar. 2005.
- Hoag et al., "Navigation and Guidance in interstellar space," ACTA Astronautica, vol. 2, pp. 513-533, Feb. 1975.
- Home Robot—UBOT; Microbotusa.com, retrieved from the WWW at [www.microbotusa.com](http://www.microbotusa.com), accessed Dec. 2, 2008, 2 pages.
- Huntsberger et al., "CAMPOUT: A Control Architecture for Tightly Coupled Coordination of Multirobot Systems for Planetary Surface Exploration," IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics—Part A: Systems and Humans, 33(5):550-559, Sep. 2003.
- Iirobotics.com, "Samsung Unveils Its Multifunction Robot Vacuum," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<[www.iirobotics.com/webpages/hotstuff.php?ubre=111](http://www.iirobotics.com/webpages/hotstuff.php?ubre=111)>. 3 pages, Mar. 2005.
- InMach "Intelligent Machines," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<[www.inmach.de/inside.html](http://www.inmach.de/inside.html)>. 1 page, Nov. 2008.
- Innovation First, "2004 EDU Robot Controller Reference Guide," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<<http://www.ifirobotics.com>>. 13 pages, Mar. 2004.
- IT media, Retrieved from the Internet: URL<[http://www.itmedia.co.jp/news/0111/16/robofesta\\_m.html](http://www.itmedia.co.jp/news/0111/16/robofesta_m.html)>. Accessed Nov. 1, 2011, 4 pages.
- It's eye, Retrieved from the Internet: URL< [www.hitachi.co.jp/rd/pdf/topics/hitac2003\\_10.pdf](http://www.hitachi.co.jp/rd/pdf/topics/hitac2003_10.pdf)>. 2 pages, 2003.
- Jarosiewicz et al., "Final Report—Lucid," University of Florida, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, EEL 5666—Intelligent Machine Design Laboratory, 50 pages, Aug. 1999.
- Jensfelt et al., "Active Global Localization for a mobile robot using multiple hypothesis tracking," IEEE Transactions on Robots and Automation, 17(5): 748-760, Oct. 2001.
- Jeong et al., "An intelligent map-building system for indoor mobile robot using low cost photo sensors," SPIE, vol. 6042, 6 pages, 2005.
- Kahney, "Robot Vacs are in the House," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<[www.wired.com/news/technology/o,1282,59237,00.html](http://www.wired.com/news/technology/o,1282,59237,00.html)>. 6 pages, Jun. 2003.
- Karcher "Karcher RoboCleaner RC 3000," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<[www.robocleaner.de/english/screen3.html](http://www.robocleaner.de/english/screen3.html)>. 4 pages, Dec. 2003.
- Karcher RC 3000 Cleaning Robot-user manual Manufacturer: Alfred-Karcher GmbH & Co, Cleaning Systems, Alfred Karcher-Str 28-40, PO Box 160, D-71349 Winnenden, Germany, Dec. 2002, 8 pages.
- Karcher RC3000 RoboCleaner,—Image, Accessed at [http://www.karcher.de/versions/int/assets/video/2\\_4\\_robo\\_en.swf](http://www.karcher.de/versions/int/assets/video/2_4_robo_en.swf)>. Accessed Sep. 2009, 1 page.
- Karcher USA, RC3000 Robotic Cleaner, website: [http://www.karcher-usa.com/showproducts.php?op=view\\_prod&param1=143&param2=&param3=](http://www.karcher-usa.com/showproducts.php?op=view_prod&param1=143&param2=&param3=), 3 pages, accessed Mar. 2005.
- Karcher, "Product Manual Download Karcher", available at [www.karcher.com](http://www.karcher.com), 16 pages, 2004.
- Karlsson et al., "Core Technologies for service Robotics," IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS 2004), vol. 3, pp. 2979-2984, Sep. 2004.
- Karlsson et al., The vSLAM Algorithm for Robust Localization and Mapping, Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Barcelona, Spain, pp. 24-29, Apr. 2005.
- King and Weiman, "Helpmate™ Autonomous Mobile Robots Navigation Systems," SPIE vol. 1388 Mobile Robots, pp. 190-198, 1990.
- Kleinberg, The Localization Problem for Mobile Robots, Laboratory for Computer Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1994 IEEE, pp. 521-531, 1994.
- Knights, et al., "Localization and Identification of Visual Landmarks," Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges, 16(4):312-313, May 2001.
- Kolodko et al., "Experimental System for Real-Time Motion Estimation," Proceedings of the 2003 IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics (AIM 2003), pp. 981-986, 2003.
- Komoriya et al., "Planning of Landmark Measurement for the Navigation of a Mobile Robot," Proceedings of the 1992 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Raleigh, NC pp. 1476-1481, Jul. 1992.
- Koolvac Robotic Vacuum Cleaner Owner's Manual, Koolatron, 2004, 13 pages.
- Krotkov et al., "Digital Sextant," Downloaded from the internet at: <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~epk/>, 1 page, 1995.

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Krupa et al., "Autonomous 3-D Positioning of Surgical Instruments in Robotized Laparoscopic Surgery Using Visual Servoing," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 19(5):842-853, Oct. 2003.
- Kuhl et al., "Self Localization in Environments using Visual Angles," VRCAI '04 Proceedings of the 2004 ACM SIGGRAPH international conference on Virtual Reality continuum and its applications in industry, pp. 472-475, 2004.
- Kurs et al, Wireless Power transfer via Strongly Coupled Magnetic Resonances, Downloaded from [www.sciencemag.org](http://www.sciencemag.org), Aug. 2007, 5 pages.
- Kurth, "Range-Only Robot Localization and SLAM with Radio", [http://www.ri.cmu.edu/pub\\_files/pub4/kurth\\_derek\\_2004\\_1/kurth\\_derek\\_2004\\_1.pdf](http://www.ri.cmu.edu/pub_files/pub4/kurth_derek_2004_1/kurth_derek_2004_1.pdf). 60 pages, May 2004, accessed Jul. 27, 2012.
- Kwon et al., "Table Recognition through Range-based Candidate Generation and Vision based Candidate Evaluation," ICAR 2007, The 13th International Conference on Advanced Robotics Aug. 21-24, 2007, Jeju, Korea, pp. 918-923, 2007.
- Lambrinos et al., "A mobile robot employing insect strategies for navigation," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<<http://www8.cs.umu.se/kurser/TDBD17/VT04/dl/Assignment%20Papers/lambrinos-RAS-2000.pdf>>. 38 pages., Feb. 1999.
- Lang et al., "Visual Measurement of Orientation Using Ceiling Features", 1994 IEEE, pp. 552-555, 1994.
- Lapin, "Adaptive position estimation for an automated guided vehicle," *SPIE*, vol. 1831 Mobile Robots VII, pp. 82-94, 1992.
- LaValle et al., "Robot Motion Planning in a Changing, Partially Predictable Environment," 1994 IEEE International Symposium on Intelligent Control, Columbus, OH, pp. 261-266, Aug. 1994.
- Lee et al., "Development of Indoor Navigation system for Humanoid Robot Using Multi-sensors Integration", ION NTM, San Diego, CA pp. 798-805, Jan. 2007.
- Lee et al., "Localization of a Mobile Robot Using the Image of a Moving Object," *IEEE Transaction on Industrial Electronics*, 50(3):612-619, Jun. 2003.
- Leonard et al., "Mobile Robot Localization by tracking Geometric Beacons," *IEEE Transaction on Robotics and Automation*, 7(3):376-382, Jun. 1991.
- Li et al. "Robust Statistical Methods for Securing Wireless Localization in Sensor Networks," Information Processing in Sensor Networks, 2005, Fourth International Symposium on, pp. 91-98, Apr. 2005.
- Li et al., "Making a Local Map of Indoor Environments by Swiveling a Camera and a Sonar," Proceedings of the 1999 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, pp. 954-959, 1999.
- Lin et al., "Mobile Robot Navigation Using Artificial Landmarks," *Journal of robotics System*, 14(2): 93-106, 1997.
- Linde, Dissertation—"On Aspects of Indoor Localization," Available at: <https://eldorado.tu-dortmund.de/handle/2003/22854>, University of Dortmund, 138 pages, Aug. 2006.
- Lumelsky et al., "An Algorithm for Maze Searching with Azimuth Input", 1994 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, San Diego, CA vol. 1, pp. 111-116, 1994.
- Luo et al., "Real-time Area-Covering Operations with Obstacle Avoidance for Cleaning Robots," IEEE, pp. 2359-2364, 2002.
- Ma, Thesis—"Documentation on Northstar," California Institute of Technology, 14 pages, May 2006.
- Madsen et al., "Optimal landmark selection for triangulation of robot position," *Journal of Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 13 pp. 277-292, 1998.
- Malik et al., "Virtual Prototyping for Conceptual Design of a Tracked Mobile Robot," Electrical and Computer Engineering, Canadian Conference on, IEEE, PI. pp. 2349-2352, May 2006.
- Martishevsky, "The Accuracy of point light target coordinate determination by disectoral tracking system", *SPIE* vol. 2591, pp. 25-30, Oct. 23, 2005.
- Maschinemarkt Würzburg 105, No. 27, pp. 3, 30, Jul. 5, 1999 (with English translation).
- Matsumura Camera Online Shop: Retrieved from the Internet: URL< <http://www.rakuten.co.jp/matsucame/587179/711512/>>. Accessed Nov. 2011, 15 pages (with English translation).
- Matsutek Enterprises Co. Ltd, "Automatic Rechargeable Vacuum Cleaner," <http://matsutek.manufacturer.globalsources.com/si/6008801427181/pdtl/Home-vacuum/10...>, Apr. 2007, 3 pages.
- McGille et al., "Infra-red Location System for Navigation and Autonomous Vehicles," 1988 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, vol. 2, pp. 1236-1238, Apr. 1988.
- McGille et al. "A Beacon Navigation Method for Autonomous Vehicles," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 38(3):132-139, Aug. 1989.
- McLurkin "Stupid Robot Tricks: A Behavior-based Distributed Algorithm Library for Programming Swarms of Robots," Paper submitted for requirements of BSEE at MIT, May 2004, 127 pages.
- McLurkin, "The Ants: A community of Microrobots," Paper submitted for requirements of BSEE at MIT, May 1995, 60 pages.
- Michelson, "Autonomous navigation," McGraw-Hill—Access Science, Encyclopedia of Science and Technology Online, 2007, 4 pages.
- Miro et al., "Towards Vision Based Navigation in Large Indoor Environments," Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Beijing, China, pp. 2096-2102, Oct. 2006.
- MobileMag, "Samsung Unveils High-tech Robot Vacuum Cleaner," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<<http://www.mobilemag.com/content/100/102/C2261/>>. 4 pages, Mar. 2005.
- Monteiro et al., "Visual Servoing for Fast Mobile Robot: Adaptive Estimation of Kinematic Parameters," Proceedings of the IECON '93, International Conference on Industrial Electronics, Maui, HI, pp. 1588-1593, Nov. 1993.
- Moore et al., "A simple Map-bases Localization strategy using range measurements," *SPIE*, vol. 5804 pp. 612-620, 2005.
- Morland, "Autonomous Lawnmower Control", Downloaded from the internet at: <http://cns.bu.edu/~cjmorlan/robotics/lawnmower/report.pdf>, 10 pages, Jul. 2002.
- Munich et al., "ERSP: A Software Platform and Architecture for the Service Robotics Industry," Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2005. (IROS 2005), pp. 460-467, Aug. 2005.
- Munich et al., "SIFT-ing Through Features with ViPR", *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, pp. 72-77, Sep. 2006.
- Nam et al., "Real-Time Dynamic Visual Tracking Using PSD Sensors and extended Trapezoidal Motion Planning", *Applied Intelligence* 10, pp. 53-70, 1999.
- Nitu et al., "Optomechatronic System for Position Detection of a Mobile Mini-Robot," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 52(4):969-973, Aug. 2005.
- On Robo, "Robot Reviews Samsung Robot Vacuum (VC-RP3OW)," Retrieved from the Internet: URL <[www.onrobo.com/reviews/AT\\_Home/vacuum\\_cleaners/on00vcrb30rosam/index.htm](http://www.onrobo.com/reviews/AT_Home/vacuum_cleaners/on00vcrb30rosam/index.htm)>. 2 pages, 2005.
- OnRobo "Samsung Unveils Its Multifunction Robot Vacuum," Retrieved from the Internet: URL <[www.onrobo.com/news/0210/samsung\\_vacuum.shtml](http://www.onrobo.com/news/0210/samsung_vacuum.shtml)>. 3 pages, Mar. 2005.
- Pages et al., "A camera-projector system for robot positioning by visual serving," Proceedings of the 2006 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshop (CVPRW06), 8 pages, Jun. 2006.
- Pages et al., "Optimizing Plane-to-Plane Positioning Tasks by Image-Based Visual Servoing and Structured Light," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 22(5):1000-1010, Oct. 2006.
- Pages et al., "Robust decoupled visual servoing based on structured light," 2005 IEEE/RSJ, Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems, pp. 2676-2681, 2005.
- Park et al., "A Neural Network Based Real-Time Robot Tracking Controller Using Position Sensitive Detectors," IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence., 1994 IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, Orlando, Florida pp. 2754-2758, Jun./Jul. 1994.

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Park et al., "Dynamic Visual Servo Control of Robot Manipulators using Neural Networks," *The Korean Institute Telematics and Electronics*, 29-B(10):771-779, Oct. 1992.
- Paromtchik "Toward Optical Guidance of Mobile Robots," Proceedings of the Fourth World Multiconference on Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics, Orlando, FL, USA, Jul. 23, 2000, vol. IX, pp. 44-49, available at <http://emotion.inrialpes.fr/~paromt/infos/papers/paromtchik:asama:sci:2000.ps.gz>, accessed Jul. 3, 2012, 6 pages.
- Paromtchik et al., "Optical Guidance System for Multiple mobile Robots," Proceedings 2001 ICRA. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, vol. 3, pp. 2935-2940, May 2001.
- Penna et al., "Models for Map Building and Navigation", IEEE Transactions on Systems. Man. And Cybernetics., 23(5):1276-1301, Sep./Oct. 1993.
- Pirjanian et al. "Representation and Execution of Plan Sequences for Multi-Agent Systems," Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Maui, Hawaii, pp. 2117-2123, Oct. 2001.
- Pirjanian et al., "A decision-theoretic approach to fuzzy behavior coordination", 1999 IEEE International Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Robotics and Automation, 1999. CIRA '99., Monterey, CA, pp. 101-106, Nov. 1999.
- Pirjanian et al., "Distributed Control for a Modular, Reconfigurable Cliff Robot," Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE International Conference on Robotics & Automation, Washington, D.C. pp. 4083-4088, May 2002.
- Pirjanian et al., "Improving Task Reliability by Fusion of Redundant Homogeneous Modules Using Voting Schemes," Proceedings of the 1997 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Albuquerque, NM, pp. 425-430, Apr. 1997.
- Pirjanian et al., "Multi-Robot Target Acquisition using Multiple Objective Behavior Coordination," Proceedings of the 2000 IEEE International Conference on Robotics & Automation, San Francisco, CA, pp. 2696-2702, Apr. 2000.
- Pirjanian, "Challenges for Standards for consumer Robotics," IEEE Workshop on Advanced Robotics and its Social impacts, pp. 260-264, Jun. 2005.
- Pirjanian, "Reliable Reaction," Proceedings of the 1996 IEEE/SICE/RSJ International Conference on Multisensor Fusion and Integration for Intelligent Systems, pp. 158-165, 1996.
- Prassler et al., "A Short History of Cleaning Robots," *Autonomous Robots* 9, 211-226, 2000, 16 pages.
- Put Your Roomba . . . On, Automatic webpages: <http://www.acomputeredge.com/roomba>, 5 pages, accessed Apr. 2005.
- Remazeilles et al., "Image based robot navigation in 3D environments," *Proc. of SPIE*, vol. 6052, pp. 1-14, Dec. 2005.
- Rives et al., "Visual servoing based on ellipse features," *SPIE*, vol. 2056 Intelligent Robots and Computer Vision pp. 356-367, 1993.
- Roboking—not just a vacuum cleaner, a robot!, Jan. 21, 2004, [infocom.uz/2004/01/21/robokingne-prosto-pyilesos-a-robot/](http://infocom.uz/2004/01/21/robokingne-prosto-pyilesos-a-robot/), accessed Oct. 10, 2011, 5 pages.
- RoboMaid Sweeps Your Floors So You Won't Have to, the Official Site, website: Retrieved from the Internet: URL<<http://therobomaid.com>>. 2 pages, accessed Mar. 2005.
- Robot Buying Guide, "LG announces the first robotic vacuum cleaner for Korea," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<[http://robotbg.com/news/2003/04/22/lg\\_announces\\_the\\_first\\_robotic\\_vacu](http://robotbg.com/news/2003/04/22/lg_announces_the_first_robotic_vacu)>. 1 page, Apr. 2003.
- Robotics World, "A Clean Sweep," 5 pages, Jan. 2001.
- Ronnback, "On Methods for Assistive Mobile Robots," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<<http://www.openthesis.org/documents/methods-assistive-mobile-robots-595019.html>>. 218 pages, Jan. 2006.
- Roth-Tabak et al., "Environment Model for mobile Robots Indoor Navigation," *SPIE*, vol. 1388 Mobile Robots, pp. 453-463, 1990.
- Sahin et al., "Development of a Visual Object Localization Module for Mobile Robots," 1999 Third European Workshop on Advanced Mobile Robots, (Eurobot '99), pp. 65-72, 1999.
- Salomon et al., "Low-Cost Optical Indoor Localization system for Mobile Objects without Image Processing," IEEE Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation, 2006. (ETFA '06), pp. 629-632, Sep. 2006.
- Sato, "Range Imaging Based on Moving Pattern Light and Spatio-Temporal Matched Filter," Proceedings International Conference on Image Processing, vol. 1., Lausanne, Switzerland, pp. 33-36, Sep. 1996.
- Schenker et al., "Lightweight rovers for Mars science exploration and sample return," Intelligent Robots and Computer Vision XVI, *SPIE Proc.* 3208, pp. 24-36, 1997.
- Schofield, "Neither Master nor slave—A Practical Study in the Development and Employment of Cleaning Robots, Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation," 1999 Proceedings ETFA '99 1999 7th IEEE International Conference on Barcelona, Spain, pp. 1427-1434, Oct. 1999.
- Shimoga et al., "Touch and Force Reflection for Telepresence Surgery," Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, 1994. Engineering Advances: New Opportunities for Biomedical Engineers. Proceedings of the 16th Annual International Conference of the IEEE, Baltimore, MD, pp. 1049-1050, 1994.
- Sim et al., "Learning Visual Landmarks for Pose Estimation," IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, vol. 3, Detroit, MI, pp. 1972-1978, May 1999.
- Sobh et al., "Case Studies in Web-Controlled Devices and Remote Manipulation," Automation Congress, 2002 Proceedings of the 5th Biannual World, pp. 435-440, Dec. 2002.
- Special Reports, "Vacuum Cleaner Robot Operated in Conjunction with 3G Cellular Phone," 59(9): 3 pages, Retrieved from the Internet: URL<[http://www.toshiba.co.jp/tech/review/2004/09/59\\_0](http://www.toshiba.co.jp/tech/review/2004/09/59_0)>. 2004.
- Stella et al., "Self-Location for Indoor Navigation of Autonomous Vehicles," Part of the SPIE conference on Enhanced and Synthetic Vision *SPIE vol.* 3364, pp. 298-302, 1998.
- Summet, "Tracking Locations of Moving Hand-held Displays Using Projected Light," *Pervasive* 2005, LNCS 3468, pp. 37-46, 2005.
- Svedman et al., "Structure from Stereo Vision using Unsynchronized Cameras for Simultaneous Localization and Mapping," 2005 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, pp. 2993-2998, 2005.
- SVET Computers—New Technologies—Robot Vacuum Cleaner, Oct., 1999, available at <http://www.sk.rs/1999/10/sknt01.html>, 1 page, accessed Nov. 1, 2011.
- Taipei Times, "Robotic vacuum by Matsuhita about to undergo testing," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<<http://www.taipeitimes.com/News/worldbiz/archives/2002/03/26/0000129338>>. accessed Mar. 2002, 2 pages.
- Takio et al., "Real-Time Position and Pose Tracking Method of Moving Object Using Visual Servo System," 47th IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, pp. 167-170, 2004. Tech-on!, Retrieved from the Internet: URL<<http://techon.nikkeibp.co.jp/members/0ldb/200203/1006501/>>. 4 pages, accessed Nov. 2011.
- Teller, "Pervasive pose awareness for people, Objects and Robots," <http://www.ai.mit.edu/lab/dangerous-ideas/Spring2003/teller-pose.pdf>, 6 pages, Apr. 2003.
- Terada et al., "An Acquisition of the Relation between Vision and Action using Self-Organizing Map and Reinforcement Learning," 1998 Second International Conference on Knowledge-Based Intelligent Electronic Systems, Adelaide, Australia, pp. 429-434, Apr. 1998.
- The Sharper Image, eVac Robotic Vacuum—Product Details, [www.sharperimage.com/us/en/templates/products/pipmoreworkprintable.jhtml](http://www.sharperimage.com/us/en/templates/products/pipmoreworkprintable.jhtml), 1 page, Accessed Mar. 2005.
- TheRobotStore.com, "Friendly Robotics Robotic Vacuum RV400—The Robot Store," [www.therobotstore.com/s.nl/sc.9/category.-109/it.A/id.43/f](http://www.therobotstore.com/s.nl/sc.9/category.-109/it.A/id.43/f), 1 page, Apr. 2005.
- Thrun, Sebastian, "Learning Occupancy Grid Maps With Forward Sensor Models," *Autonomous Robots* 15, 28 pages, Sep. 1, 2003.
- TotalVac.com, RC3000 RoboCleaner website, 2004, Accessed at [http://www.totalvac.com/robot\\_vacuum.htm](http://www.totalvac.com/robot_vacuum.htm) (Mar. 2005), 3 pages.

(56)

**References Cited**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Trebi-Ollennu et al., "Mars Rover Pair Cooperatively Transporting a Long Payload," Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE International Conference on Robotics & Automation, Washington, D.C. pp. 3136-3141, May 2002.

Tribelhorn et al., "Evaluating the Roomba: A low-cost, ubiquitous platform for robotics research and education," IEEE, pp. 1393-1399, 2007.

Tse et al., "Design of a Navigation System for a Household Mobile Robot Using Neural Networks," Department of Manufacturing Engg. & Engg. Management, City University of Hong Kong, pp. 2151-2156, 1998.

UAMA (Asia) Industrial Co., Ltd., "RobotFamily," 2005, 1 page.

UBOT, cleaning robot capable of wiping with a wet duster, Retrieved from the Internet: URL<<http://us.aving.net/news/view.php?articleId=23031>>. 4 pages, accessed Nov. 2011.

Watanabe et al., "Position Estimation of Mobile Robots With Internal and External Sensors Using Uncertainty Evolution Technique," 1990 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Cincinnati, OH, pp. 2011-2016, May 1990.

Watts, "Robot, boldly goes where no man can," The Times—pp. 20, Jan. 1985.

Wijk et al., "Triangulation-Based Fusion of Sonar Data with Application in Robot Pose Tracking," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 16(6):740-752, Dec. 2000.

Wolf et al., "Robust Vision-Based Localization by Combining an Image-Retrieval System with Monte Carol Localization," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 21(2):208-216, Apr. 2005.

Wolf et al., "Robust Vision-based Localization for Mobile Robots Using an Image Retrieval System Based on Invariant Features," Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE International Conference on Robotics & Automation, Washington, D.C., pp. 359-365, May 2002.

Wong, "EIED Online>> Robot Business", ED Online ID# 13114, 17 pages, Jul. 2006.

Yamamoto et al., "Optical Sensing for Robot Perception and Localization," 2005 IEEE Workshop on Advanced Robotics and its Social Impacts, pp. 14-17, 2005.

Yata et al., "Wall Following Using Angle Information Measured by a Single Ultrasonic Transducer," Proceedings of the 1998 IEEE, International Conference on Robotics & Automation, Leuven, Belgium, pp. 1590-1596, May 1998.

Yujin Robotics, "An intelligent cleaning robot," Retrieved from the Internet: URL<<http://us.aving.net/news/view.php?articleId=7257>>. 8 pages, accessed Nov. 2011.

Yun et al., "Image-Based Absolute Positioning System for Mobile Robot Navigation," IAPR International Workshops SSPR, Hong Kong, pp. 261-269, Aug. 2006.

Yun et al., "Robust Positioning a Mobile Robot with Active Beacon Sensors," *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2006, vol. 4251, pp. 890-897, 2006.

Yuta et al., "Implementation of an Active Optical Range sensor Using Laser Slit for In-Door Intelligent Mobile Robot," IEE/RSJ International Workshop on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS 91) vol. 1, Osaka, Japan, pp. 415-420, Nov. 3-5, 1991.

Zha et al., "Mobile Robot Localization Using Incomplete Maps for Change Detection in a Dynamic Environment," Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics '97. Final Program and Abstracts., IEEE/ASME International Conference, pp. 110, Jun. 1997.

Zhang et al., "A Novel Mobile Robot Localization Based on Vision," *SPIE* vol. 6279, 6 pages, Jan. 2007.

Zoombot Remote Controlled Vacuum-RV-500 New Roomba 2, website: <http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=43526&item=4373497618&rd=1>, accessed Apr. 20, 2005, 7 pages.

Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority issued in International Application No. PCT/US2004/001504, dated Aug. 20, 2012, 9 pages.

\* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3






FIG. 4




FIG. 5A




FIG. 6A




FIG. 5B




FIG. 6B




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9





FIG. 10


AREA OF OVERLAP

NONE


$.017 \text{ in}^2$




$.044 \text{ in}^2$




$.091 \text{ in}^2$



$.128 \text{ in}^2$



$.160 \text{ in}^2$



$.214 \text{ in}^2$




FIG. 11




FIG. 12

CLIFF PARAMETERS GIVEN:  
PHOTON EMISSION NOT DIFFUSE  
CLIFF DETECTION HEIGHT < BUMPER HEIGHT




FIG. 13




FIG. 14






FIG. 15




FIG. 16




FIG. 17




FIG. 18




FIG. 19




FIG. 20A




FIG. 20B




FIG. 20C




FIG. 20D




FIG. 20E




FIG. 20F




FIG. 20G






FIG. 21A




FIG. 21B




FIG. 21C




FIG. 22




FIG. 23




FIG. 24




FIG. 25




FIG. 26




FIG. 27A



## SYNCHRONOUS DETECTION SCHEME




FIG. 27B




FIG. 28




FIG. 29




FIG. 30




FIG. 31




FIG. 32




FIG. 33




FIG. 34




FIG. 35

1

## OBSTACLE FOLLOWING SENSOR SCHEME FOR A MOBILE ROBOT

### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority to and is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/145,184, filed Jun. 24, 2008, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/126,757, filed May 23, 2008, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,478,442, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/834,553, filed Aug. 6, 2007, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,430,455, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/834,573, filed Aug. 6, 2007 which is a continuation-in-part of 11/166,986, filed Jun. 24, 2005, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,412,377, which is a continuation-in-part application of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/453,202, filed Jun. 3, 2003, which is a continuation-in-part of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/768,773, filed Jan. 24, 2001, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,594,844, which claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/177,703, filed Jan. 24, 2000, the disclosures of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entireties. This application also claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/582,992, filed Jun. 25, 2004, the disclosure of which is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety.

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to an obstacle detection system for an autonomous robot, such as an autonomous cleaning robot.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

There is a long felt need for autonomous robotic cleaning and processing devices for dusting, mopping, vacuuming, sweeping, lawn mowing, ice resurfacing, ice melting, and other operations. Although technology exists for complex robots which can, to some extent, "see" and "feel" their surroundings, the complexity, expense and power requirements associated with these types of robotic subsystems render them unsuitable for the consumer marketplace.

The assignee of the subject application has devised a less expensive, battery operated, autonomous cleaning robot which operates in various modes, including random bounce and wall-following modes. In the random bounce mode, the processing circuitry of the robot causes it to move in a straight line until the robot comes into contact with an obstacle; the robot then turns away from the obstacle and heads in a random direction. In the wall-following mode, the robot encounters a wall, follows it for a time, and then returns to the random mode. By using this combination of modes, robotic theory has proven that the floor, including the edges thereof, is adequately covered in an optimal time resulting in a power savings.

Unfortunately, however, presently available sensor subsystems such as sonar sensors for detecting obstacles on or in the floor or for detecting the wall in order to enter the wall-following mode (or to avoid bumping into the wall) are either too complex or too expensive or both. Tactile sensors are inefficient to ensure that walls or other obstacles can be effectively followed at a predetermined distance.

Some existing systems that disclose wall-following modes for autonomous robots are disclosed in International Publication No. WO 02/101477 A2, U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/453,202 and U.S. Pat. No. 6,809,490, the

2

disclosures of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entireties. In an embodiment of the system disclosed in the U.S. patent and application (and available commercially from iRobot Corporation as the ROOMBA® Robotic Floor-vac), analog electronics (i.e., a comparator) are used to determine whether a sensor has detected the wall or not. The system is designed to follow along a wall at a predetermined distance to allow a cleaning mechanism (e.g., a side brush) to clean against a wall. In the ROOMBA® Robotic Floor-vac, a mechanical shutter proximate the sensor can be manually adjusted by the user in order to make the robot follow an appropriate distance from the wall. This shutter is used since the sensor can be sensitive to the albedo of the wall. This manually adjusted shutter, while effective, detracts from the autonomous nature of mobile robots; thus, a fully independent wall-following scheme for a mobile robot is needed.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, the control system of the present invention utilizes, in one embodiment, a synchronous detection scheme inputted directly into an A/D port on a microprocessor of the robot. This allows sensor values, and not merely the presence or absence of a wall, to be used to control the robot. The synchronous detection algorithm also allows readings to be taken with and without the sensor emitter powered, which allows the system to take into account ambient light.

In one aspect, the invention relates to a robot obstacle detection system that is simple in design, low cost, accurate, easy to implement, and easy to calibrate.

In an embodiment of the above aspect, such a robot detection system prevents an autonomous cleaning robot from driving off a stair or an obstacle that is too high.

In another aspect, the invention relates to a robotic wall detection system that is low cost, accurate, easy to implement, and easy to calibrate.

In an embodiment of the above aspect, such a robot wall detection system effects smoother robot operation in the wall-following mode.

In yet another aspect, the invention relates to a sensor subsystem for a robot that consumes a minimal amount of power.

In still another aspect, the invention relates to a sensor subsystem that is unaffected by surfaces of different reflectivity or albedo.

Another aspect of the invention results from the realization that a low cost, accurate, and easy-to-implement system for either preventing an autonomous robot from driving off a stair or over an obstacle which is too high or too low and/or for more smoothly causing the robot to follow a wall for more thorough cleaning can be effected by intersecting the field of view of a detector with the field of emission of a directed beam at a predetermined region and then detecting whether the floor or wall occupies that region. If the floor does not occupy the predefined region, a stair or some other obstacle is present and the robot is directed away accordingly. If a wall occupies the region, the robot is first turned away from the wall and then turned back towards the wall at decreasing radiuses of curvature until the wall once again occupies the region of intersection to effect smoother robot operation in the wall-following mode.

One embodiment of the invention features an autonomous robot having a housing that navigates in at least one direction on a surface. A first sensor subsystem is aimed at the surface for detecting obstacles on the surface. A second

sensor subsystem is aimed at least proximate the direction of navigation for detecting walls. Each subsystem can include an optical emitter which emits a directed beam having a defined field of emission and a photon detector having a defined field of view which intersects the field of emission of the emitter at a finite, predetermined region.

Another embodiment of the robot obstacle detection system of this invention features a robot housing which navigates with respect to a surface and a sensor subsystem having a defined relationship with respect to the housing and aimed at the surface for detecting the surface. The sensor subsystem can include an optical emitter which emits a directed beam having a defined field of emission and a photon detector having a defined field of view which intersects the field of emission of the emitter at a region. A circuit in communication with the detector then redirects the robot when the surface does not occupy the region to avoid obstacles.

In certain embodiments, there are a plurality of sensor subsystems spaced from each other on the housing of the robot and the circuit includes logic for detecting whether any detector has failed to detect a beam from an emitter.

In one embodiment, the robot includes a surface cleaning brush. Other embodiments attach to the robot a buffing brush for floor polishing, a wire brush for stripping paint from a floor, a sandpaper drum for sanding a surface, a blade for mowing grass, etc. The emitter typically includes an infrared light source and, consequently, the detector includes an infrared photon detector. A modulator connected to the infrared light source modulates the directed infrared light source beam at a predetermined frequency, with the photon detector tuned to that frequency. The emitter usually includes an emitter collimator about the infrared light source for directing the beam and the detector then further includes a detector collimator about the infrared photon detector. The emitter collimator and the detector collimator may be angled with respect to the surface to define a finite region of intersection.

One embodiment of the robot wall detection system in accordance with the invention includes a robot housing which navigates with respect to a wall and a sensor subsystem having a defined relationship with respect to the housing and aimed at the wall for detecting the presence of the wall. The sensor subsystem includes an emitter which emits a directed beam having a defined field of emission and a detector having a defined field of view which intersects the field of emission of the emitter at a region. A circuit in communication with the detector redirects the robot when the wall occupies the region.

In another embodiment, there are a plurality of sensor subsystems spaced from each other on the housing of the robot and the circuit includes logic for detecting whether any detector has detected a beam from an emitter.

The circuit includes logic which redirects the robot away from the wall when the wall occupies the region and back towards the wall when the wall no longer occupies the region of intersection, typically at decreasing radiuses of curvature until the wall once again occupies the region of intersection to effect smooth operation of the robot in the wall-following mode.

The sensor subsystem for an autonomous robot which rides on a surface in accordance with this invention includes an optical emitter which emits a directed optical beam having a defined field of emission, a photon detector having a defined field of view which intersects the field of emission

of the emitter at a region and a circuit in communication with a detector for providing an output when an object is not present in the region.

If the object is the surface, the output from the circuit causes the robot to be directed to avoid an obstacle. If, on the other hand, the object is a wall, the output from the circuit causes the robot to be directed back towards the wall.

If the object is diffuse, at least one of the detector and the emitter may be oriented normal to the object. Also, an optional lens for the emitter and a lens for the detector control the size and/or shape of the region. A control system may be included and configured to operate the robot in a plurality of modes including an obstacle following mode, whereby said robot travels adjacent to an obstacle. Typically, the obstacle following mode comprises alternating between decreasing the turning radius of the robot as a function of distance traveled, such that the robot turns toward said obstacle until the obstacle is detected, and such that the robot turns away from said obstacle until the obstacle is no longer detected. In one embodiment, the robot operates in obstacle following mode for a distance greater than twice the work width of the robot and less than approximately ten times the work width of the robot. In one example, the robot operates in obstacle following mode for a distance greater than twice the work width of the robot and less than five times the work width of the robot.

In another aspect, the invention relates to a method for operating a mobile robot, the method including the steps of detecting the presence of an object proximate the mobile robot, sensing a value of a signal corresponding to the object, comparing the value to a predetermined value, moving the mobile robot in response to the comparison, and updating the predetermined value upon the occurrence of an event. In another embodiment, the updated predetermined value is based at least in part on a product of the predetermined value and a constant. In certain embodiments, the event may include a physical contact between the mobile robot and the object or may include when a scaled value is less than the predetermined value. In one embodiment, the scaled value is based at least in part on a product of the value and a constant. The step of moving the mobile robot may include causing the robot to travel toward the object, when the value is less than the predetermined value, and/or causing the robot to travel away from the object, when the value is greater than the predetermined value.

In other embodiments, the method includes conditioning the value of the signal corresponding to the object. The detection step of the method may also include a first detection at a first distance to the object, and a second detection at a second distance to the object. The detection step may include emitting at least one signal and/or measuring at least one signal with at least one sensor. Embodiments of the above aspect may average a plurality of signals or filter one or more signals. In certain embodiments, a plurality of sensors are disposed on the mobile robot in a predetermined pattern that minimizes a variation in object reflectivity. Other embodiments vary the power of at least one emitted signal and/or vary the sensitivity of at least one sensor.

In various embodiments of the above aspect, at least one emitted signal or detected signal includes light having at least one of a visible wavelength and an infrared wavelength. In other embodiments of the above aspect, at least one emitted signal or detected signal includes an acoustic wave having at least one of an audible frequency and an ultrasonic frequency. Other embodiments of the above aspect include a mobile robot, the robot having at least one infrared emitter and at least one infrared detector, wherein

the infrared emitter and the infrared detector are oriented substantially parallel to each other. In certain embodiments, the signal value corresponds to at least one of a distance to the object and an albedo of the object.

In another aspect, the invention relates to a method for operating a mobile robot, the method including the steps of detecting a presence of an object proximate the mobile robot, detecting an absence of the object, moving the robot a predetermined distance in a predetermined first direction, and rotating the robot in a predetermined second direction about a fixed point. In certain embodiments of the above aspect, the predetermined distance corresponds to at least in part to a distance from a sensor located on the robot to a robot wheel axis. In one embodiment, the first direction is defined at least in part by a previous direction of motion of the robot prior to detecting the absence of the object.

In alternative embodiments, the fixed point is a point between a first wheel of the robot and the object. In some embodiments, the first wheel is proximate the object. In other embodiments, rotating the robot may cease on the occurrence of an event, the event including detecting a presence of an object, contacting an object, or rotating the robot beyond a predetermined angle. An additional step of moving in a third direction is included in other embodiments.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other objects, features and advantages will occur to those skilled in the art from the following description of some embodiments of the invention and the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 is schematic view of a robot in accordance with one embodiment of the invention approaching a downward stair;

FIG. 2 is a schematic view of the robot of FIG. 1 approaching an upward stair;

FIG. 3 is a schematic view of the robot of FIG. 1 approaching an obstacle on a floor;

FIG. 4 is a schematic view showing the difference between the wall-following and random modes of travel of a robot in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 5A is a schematic view of a sensor subsystem in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 5B is a graph of signal strength versus distance for the sensor-detector configuration depicted in FIG. 5A;

FIG. 6A is a schematic view of a sensor subsystem in accordance with another embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 6B is a graph of signal strength versus distance for the sensor-detector configuration depicted in FIG. 6A;

FIG. 7 is a schematic view showing the field of emission of the emitter and the field of view of the detector of the sensor subsystem in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 8 is a three-dimensional schematic view showing a full overlap of the field of emission of the emitter and the field of view of the detector in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 9 is a three-dimensional schematic view showing the situation which occurs when there is a minimal overlap between the field of emission and the field of view of one embodiment of the sensor subsystem of the invention;

FIG. 10 is a series of views showing, from top to bottom, no overlap between the field of emission and the field of view and then a full overlap of the field of view over the field of emission;

FIG. 11 is a set of figures corresponding to FIG. 10 depicting the area of overlap for each of these conditions shown in FIG. 10;

FIG. 12 is a more detailed schematic view of the sensor subsystem according to one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 13 is a schematic view of the sensor subsystem of FIG. 12 in place on or in a robot in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 14 is a schematic top view of the wall detection system in accordance with one embodiment of the invention in place on the shell or housing of a robot;

FIG. 15 is a schematic three dimensional view of the sensor system in accordance with another embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 16 is a flow chart depicting the primary steps associated with a logic which detects whether a cliff is present in front of the robot in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 17 is a flow chart depicting the primary steps associated with the wall-detection logic in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 18 is a bottom view of a cleaning robot in accordance with one embodiment of the invention configured to turn about curvatures of decreasing radiuses;

FIG. 19 is a schematic top view showing the abrupt turns made by a robot in the wall-following mode when the wall-following algorithm of an embodiment of the invention is not employed;

FIG. 20A is a view similar to FIG. 19 except that now the wall-following algorithm of one embodiment of the invention is employed to smooth out the path of the robotic cleaning device in the wall-following mode;

FIGS. 20B-20G depict a sequence wherein a mobile robot operates a wall-following, corner-turning algorithm in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 21A is a flow-chart illustration of the obstacle-following algorithm of an embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 21B is a flow-chart illustration of the obstacle-following algorithm of another embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 21C is a flow-chart illustration of the threshold-adjustment subroutine of the algorithm depicted in FIG. 21B;

FIG. 22 is a flow-chart illustration of an algorithm for determining when to exit the obstacle following mode;

FIG. 23 is a block diagram showing the various components associated with a robotic cleaning device;

FIG. 24 is a schematic three-dimensional view of a robotic cleaning device employing a number of cliff sensors and wall sensors in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 25 is a bottom view of one particular robotic cleaning device and the cliff sensors incorporated therewith in accordance one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 26 is a side view of the robot of FIG. 25, further incorporating wall-following sensors in accordance with one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 27A is a circuit diagram for the detector circuit of one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 27B is a circuit diagram for the detector circuit of another embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 28 is a circuit diagram for the oscillator circuit of one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 29 is a circuit diagram for the power connection circuit of one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 30 is a decoupling circuit of one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 31 is a diagram of a connector used in one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 32 is a diagram of another connector used in one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 33 is a diagram of still another connector used in one embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 34 is a circuit diagram of a jumper used in one embodiment of the invention; and

FIG. 35 is a circuit diagram for constant current source used in one embodiment of the invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Robotic cleaning device 10, FIG. 1 can be configured to dust, mop, vacuum, and/or sweep a surface such as a floor. Typically, robot 10 operates in several modes: random coverage, spiral, and a wall-following mode, as discussed in U.S. Pat. No. 6,809,490 and in the Background section above. In any mode, robot 10 may encounter downward stair 12 or another similar "cliff," upward stair 14, FIG. 2, or another similar rise, and/or obstacle 16, FIG. 3. According to one specification, the robot must be capable of traversing obstacles less than  $\frac{5}{8}$ " above or below floor level. Therefore, robot 10 must avoid stairs 12 and 14 but traverse obstacle 16 which may be an extension cord, the interface between a rug and hard flooring, or a threshold between rooms.

As delineated in the background of the invention, presently available obstacle sensor subsystems useful in connection with robot 10 are either too complex or too expensive or both. Moreover, robot 10, depicted in FIG. 4, is designed to be inexpensive and to operate based on battery power to thus thoroughly clean room 20 in several modes: a spiral mode (not shown), a wall-following mode as shown at 22 and 24, and a random bounce mode as shown at 26. In the wall-following mode, the robot follows the wall for a time. In the random bounce mode, the robot travels in a straight line until it bumps into an object. It then turns away from the obstacle by a random turn and then continues along in a straight line until the next object is encountered.

Accordingly, any obstacle sensor subsystem must be inexpensive, simple in design, reliable, must not consume too much power, and must avoid certain obstacles but properly recognize and traverse obstacles which do not pose a threat to the operation of the robot.

Although the following disclosure relates to cleaning robots, the invention hereof is not limited to such devices and may be useful in other devices or systems wherein one or more of the design criteria listed above are important.

In one embodiment, depicted in FIG. 5A, sensor subsystem 50, includes optical emitter 52 which emits a directed beam 54 having a defined field of emission explained supra. Sensor subsystem 50 also includes photon detector 56 having a defined field of view which intersects the field of emission of emitter 52 at or for a given region. Surface 58 may be a floor or a wall depending on the arrangement of sensor subsystem 50 with respect to the housing of the robot.

In general, for obstacle avoidance, circuitry is added to the robot and connected to detector 56 to redirect the robot when surface 58 does not occupy the region defining the intersection of the field of emission of emitter 52 and the field of view of detector 56. For wall-following, the circuitry redirects the robot when the wall occupies the region defined by the intersection of the field of emission of emitter 52 and the field of view of detector 56. Emitter collimator tube 60 forms directed beam 54 with a predefined field of emission

and detector collimator tube 62 defines the field of view of the detector 56. In alternative embodiments, collimator tubes 60, 62 are not used.

FIG. 5A depicts one embodiment of the invention where the emitter 52 and detector 56 are parallel to each other and perpendicular to a surface. This orientation makes the signal strength at the detector more dependant on the distance to obstacle. However, in this orientation, the difference between a white or highly reflective surface a long distance away from subsystem 50 and a black or non-reflective surface closer to subsystem 50 cannot be easily detected by the control circuitry. Moreover, the effects of specular scattering are not always easily compensated for adequately when the beam from emitter 52 is directed normal to the plane of surface 58. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this parallel configuration of emitter 52 and detector 56 can be utilized advantageously with the wall-following mode depicted in FIGS. 21A and 21B, described in more detail below.

In another embodiment, depicted in FIG. 6A, emitter collimator 60' and detector collimator 62' are both angled with respect to surface 58 and with respect to each other as shown, which is intended to reduce the signal strength dependence on the wall reflectivity. In this way, the region 70, FIG. 7, in which the field of emission of emitter 52' as shown at 72 and the field of view of detector of 56' as shown at 74 intersect is finite to more adequately address specular scattering and surfaces of different reflectivity. In this design, the emitter is typically an infrared emitter and the detector is typically an infrared radiation detector. The infrared energy directed at the floor decreases rapidly as the sensor-to-floor distance increases while the infrared energy received by the detector changes linearly with surface reflectivity. Note, however, that an angled relationship between the emitter and detector is not required for diffuse surfaces. Optional lenses 61 and 63 may also be employed to better control the size and/or shape of region 70.

FIGS. 5B and 6B are graphs comparing the signal strength to distance from an object for the emitter/detector configurations depicted in FIGS. 5A and 6B, respectively. In FIG. 5B, depicting the relationship for a parallel configuration, the signal strength is proportional to the distance, and approaches a linear relationship for all four surfaces tested (white cardboard, brown cardboard, bare wood, and clear plastic). Accordingly, sensors arranged to detect walls or other essentially vertical obstacles are well-suited to the parallel configuration, as it allows surfaces further distance away to be effectively detected.

FIG. 6B, on the other hand, depicts the relationship for an angled configuration of the emitter/detector. In this orientation, the signal strength falls off rapidly at a closer distance, regardless of surface type, for all three surfaces tested (brown cardboard, white cardboard, and clear plastic). This occurs when the surface being detected is no longer present in the intersecting region of the emitter signal and detector field of view. Accordingly, the angled orientation and resulting overlap region are desirable for cliff detection subsystems. In that application, a difference in surface height must be detected clearly, allowing the robot to redirect accordingly, saving the robot from damage. Although the parallel configuration and angled configurations are better suited to wall- and cliff-detection, respectively, the invention contemplates using either configuration for either application.

The sensor subsystem is calibrated such that when floor or surface 58', FIG. 8, is the "normal" or expected distance with respect to the robot, there is a full or a nearly full overlap between the field of emission of the emitter and the field of

view of the detector as shown. When the floor or surface is too far away such that the robot can not successfully traverse an obstacle, there is no or only a minimal overlap between the field of emission of the emitter and the field of view of the detector as shown in FIG. 9. The emitter beam and the detector field of view are collimated such that they fully overlap only in a small region near the expected position of the floor. The detector threshold is then set so that the darkest available floor material is detected when the beam and the field of view fully overlap. As the robot approaches a cliff, the overlap decreases until the reflected intensity is below the preset threshold. This triggers cliff avoidance behavior. Highly reflective floor material delays the onset of cliff detection only slightly. By arranging the emitter and detector at 45° with respect to the floor, the region of overlap as a function of height is minimized. Equal incidence and reflection angles ensure that the cliff detector functions regardless of whether the floor material is specular or diffuse. The size of the overlap region can be selected by choosing the degree of collimation and the nominal distance to the floor. In this way, the logic interface between the sensor subsystem and the control circuitry of the robot is greatly simplified.

By tuning the system to simply redirect the robot when there is no detectable overlap, i.e., when the detector fails to emit a signal, the logic interface required between the sensor subsystem and the control electronics (e.g., a microprocessor) is simple to design and requires no or little signal conditioning. The emitted IR beam may be modulated and the return beam filtered with a matching filter in order to provide robust operation in the presence of spurious signals, such as sunlight, IR-based remote control units, fluorescent lights, and the like. Conversely, for the wall sensor embodiment, the system is tuned to redirect the robot when there is a detectable overlap.

FIGS. 10-11 provide in graphical form an example of the differences in the area of overlap depending on the height (d) of the sensor subsystem from a surface. The field of emission of the emitter and the field of view of the detector were set to be equal and non-overlapping at a distance (d) of 1.3 inches and each was an ellipse 0.940 inches along the major diameter and 0.650 inches along minor diameter. A full overlap occurred at d=0.85 inches where the resulting overlapping ellipses converge into a single ellipse 0.426 inches along the minor diameter and 0.600 inches along the major diameter. Those skilled in the art will understand how to adjust the field of emission and the field of view and the intersection region between the two to meet the specific design criteria of any robotic device in question. Thus, FIGS. 10 and 11 provide illustrative examples only.

In one embodiment, as shown in FIG. 12, in housing 80 of the sensor subsystem, a rectangular 22 mm by 53 mm by 3 mm diameter plastic emitter collimator tube 82 and 3 mm diameter plastic detector collimator tube 84 were placed 13.772 mm from the bottom of housing 80 which was flush with the bottom of the shell of the robot. The collimators 82, 84 may be either a separate component, or may be integrally formed in the robot housing. This configuration defined field of view and field of emission cones of 20° placed at a 60° angle from each other. The angle between the respective collimator tubes was 60° and they were spaced 31.24 mm apart. This configuration defined a region of intersection between the field of emission and the field of view 29.00 mm long beginning at the bottom of the robot.

In the design shown in FIG. 13, the sensor subsystem is shown integrated with robot shell or housing 90 with a wheel (not shown) which supports the bottom 92 of shell 90 one-half inch above surface or floor 94. The region of

overlap of the field of view and the field of emission was 0.688 inches, 0.393 inches above the surface. Thus, if stair 96 has a drop greater than 0.393 inches, no signal will be output by the detector and the robot redirected accordingly. In one embodiment, the emitter includes an infrared light source and the detector includes an infrared photon detector each disposed in round plastic angled collimators. The emitter, however, may also be a laser or any other source of light.

For wall detection, emitter 102 and detector 100 are arranged as shown in FIG. 14. The optical axes of the emitter and detector are parallel to the floor on which the robot travels. The field of emission of the emitter and the field of view of the detector are both 22 degree cones. A three millimeter diameter tube produces a cone of this specification when the active element is mounted 0.604 inches from the open end as shown. The optical axes of the emitter and detector intersect at an angle of 80 degrees. The volume of intersection 103 occurs at a point about 2.6 inches ahead of the point of tangency between the robot shell 106 and the wall 104 when the robot is traveling parallel to the wall. The line bisecting the intersection of the optical axes of the emitter and detector is perpendicular to the wall. This ensures that reflections from specular walls are directed from the emitter into the detector.

In another embodiment, depicted in FIG. 15, detector 116 is positioned above emitter 112 and lens 118 with two areas of different curvature 115 and 114 used to focus light from emitter 112 to the same spot as the field of view of detector 116 at only one height above surface 120 so that if the height changes, there is no or at least not a complete overlap between the field of view of detector 116 and emitter 112 as defined by curvature areas 115 and 114. In this situation, the rapid change of reflected intensity with height is provided by focusing two lenses on a single spot. When the floor is in the nominal position relative to the sensor subsystem, the emitter places all its energy on a small spot. The detector is focused on the same spot. As the floor falls away from the nominal position, light reflected into the detector (now doubly out of focus) decreases rapidly. By carefully selecting the lens-to-floor distance and the focal lengths of the two lenses, it is possible for the emitter and detector to be located at different points but have a common focus on the floor. Lens may also be used in connection with the embodiments of FIGS. 5A-7 to better control the shape and/or size of the region of intersection.

The logic of the circuitry associated with the cliff sensor embodiment modulates the emitter at a frequency of several kilohertz and detects any signal from the detector, step 150, FIG. 16, which is tuned to that frequency. When a signal is not output by the detector, step 152, the expected surface is not present and no overlap is detected. In response, an avoidance algorithm is initiated, step 154, to cause the robot to avoid any interfering obstacle. When a reflected signal is detected, processing continues to step 150.

In the wall detection mode, the logic of the circuitry associated with the sensor subsystem modulates the emitter and detects signals from the detector as before, step 170, FIG. 17 until a reflection is detected, step 172. A wall is then next to the robot and the controlling circuitry causes the robot to turn away from the wall, step 174 and then turn back, step 176 until a reflection (the wall) is again detected, step 178. By continuously decreasing the radius of curvature of the robot, step 180, the path of the robot along the wall in the wall-following mode is made smoother.

As shown in FIG. 18, robot housing 200 includes three wheels 202, 204, and 206 and is designed to only move



## 11

forward in the direction shown by vector **208**. When a wall is first detected (step **172**, FIG. **17**), the robot turns away from the wall in the direction of vector **210** and then turns back towards the wall rotating first about radius  $R_1$  and then about radius  $R_2$  and then about smoothly decreasing radius points (steps **178-180**, FIG. **17**) until the wall is again detected. This discussion assumes the detector is on the right of robot housing **200**.

As shown in FIG. **19**, if only one constant radius of curvature was chosen, the robot's travel path along the wall would be a series of abrupt motions. In contrast, by continuously reducing the radius of curvature as the robot moves forward back to the wall in accordance with the subject invention, the robot's travel path along the wall is relatively smooth as shown in FIG. **20A**.

FIGS. **20B-20G** depict a sequence corresponding to a corner-turning behavior of an autonomous robot **600**. The corner-turning behavior allows the robot **600** to turn smoothly about an outside corner **612** without colliding with the corner **612** or the wall **614**. Avoiding unnecessary collisions helps to improve cleaning efficiency and enhances users' perception of the robot's effectiveness.

The robot **600** depicted in FIGS. **20B-20G** includes at least one wall sensor **602** and two drive wheels, described with respect to the wall **614** as an outside wheel **604** and an inside wheel **606**. The wheels are aligned on a common axis **610**. The signal **608** may be either a reflected signal projected by a corresponding emitter (in the sensor **602**) or may be the signal received as a result of the ambient light, obstacle reflectivity, or other factors. In this embodiment, the sensor **602** is oriented approximately perpendicular to both the robot's general direction of motion  $M_G$  and the wall **614**. The sensor is located a distance  $X$  forward of the common axis **610** of the robot's drive wheels **604**, **606**. In this embodiment,  $X$  equals approximately three inches, but may be any distance based on the size of the robot **600**, the robot's application, or other factors.

During the wall-following operation depicted in FIGS. **20A** and **20B**, the robot **600** serves on the analog signal from the sensor **602**. That is, while moving generally forward along  $M_G$  along the wall **614**, the robot **600** turns slightly toward or away from the wall as the signal **608** decreases or increases, respectively. FIG. **20C** depicts the condition when the robot **600** reaches an outside corner **612** and the signal **608** suddenly decreases to a low or zero value. When this occurs, the robot **600** triggers its corner-turning behavior. In an alternative embodiment, or in addition to the corner-turning behavior described below, the robot may turn immediately in an effort to bump the wall **614**, allowing it to confirm the presence or absence of the wall **614**. If the signal **608** remains low or at zero, but the bump sensor continues to activate, the robot would be able to self-diagnose a failed wall sensor **602**.

FIG. **20D** depicts the initial step of corner-turning behavior, when the robot **600** first ceases servoing on the wall (i.e., moving generally forward  $M_G$ —the robot's last position while servoing is shown by dashed outline **600a**) and moves straight  $M_S$  ahead. The robot moves straight ahead  $M_S$  a distance equal to the distance  $X$  between the servo sensor **602** and the drive wheel axis **610** (in this embodiment, approximately three inches). The drive wheel axis **610** now approximately intersects the corner **612**. At this stage the robot **600** begins to rotate  $R$  about a point  $P$  located to the outside of the inside wheel **606** near the corner **612**, as depicted in FIG. **20E**. The distance from the inside wheel **606** to the point  $P$  can be selected in various ways. In one embodiment, the point  $P$  is approximately one inch from the

## 12

inside drive wheel **606**. This distance allows the robot **600** to turn, without collision, about an outside corner **612** of any angle or even a standard-width door. In the case of a door the robot **600** would make a 180-degree turn.

The robot **600** continues to rotate in a direction  $R$  about the rotation point  $P$  until one of three events occurs. FIG. **20F** (showing with a dashed outline **600b**, the position of the robot at rotation initiation) depicts the first scenario, where the signal **608** from the sensor **602** becomes high. Here, the robot **600** assumes it has found a wall **614**. The robot **600** resumes the wall-following behavior, servoing on the wall **614**, and moving generally forward  $M_G$ , as depicted in FIG. **20G**. In a second scenario, the robot's bump sensor activates while rotating, at which time the robot may realign itself to the wall and begin following or, depending on other behaviors, the robot may abandon wall-following. The third scenario occurs if the robot turns nearly a complete circle or other predetermined angle without encountering a wall. The robot will assume it has "lost" the wall and can abandon wall-following mode.

The method used in one embodiment for following the wall is explained with reference to FIG. **21A** and provides a smooth wall-following operation even with a one-bit sensor. (Here the one-bit sensor detects only the presence or absence of the wall within a particular volume rather than the distance between wall and sensor.) Other methods of detecting a wall or object can be used, such as bump sensing or sonar sensors.

Once the wall-following operational mode, or wall-following behavior of one embodiment, is initiated (step **1301**), the robot first sets its initial value for the steering at  $r_0$ . The wall-following behavior then initiates the emit-detect routine in the wall-follower sensor (step **1310**). The existence of a reflection for the IR transmitter portion of the sensor translates into the existence of an object within a predetermined distance from the sensor. The wall-following behavior then determines whether there has been a transition from a reflection (object within range) to a non-reflection (object outside of range) (step **1320**). If there has been a transition (in other words, the wall is now out of range), the value of  $r$  is set to its most negative value and the robot will veer slightly to the right (step **1325**). The robot then begins the emit-detect sequence again (step **1310**). If there has not been a transition from a reflection to a non-reflection, the wall-following behavior then determines whether there has been a transition from non-reflection to reflection (step **1330**). If there has been such a transition, the value of  $r$  is set to its most positive value and the robot will veer slightly left (step **1335**). In one embodiment, veering or turning is accomplished by driving the wheel opposite the direction of turn at a greater rate than the other wheel (i.e., the left wheel when veering right, the right wheel when veering left). In an alternative embodiment, both wheels may drive at the same rate, and a rearward or forward caster may direct the turn.

In the absence of either type of transition event, the wall-following behavior reduces the absolute value of  $r$  (step **1340**) and begins the emit-detect sequence (step **1310**) anew. By decreasing the absolute value of  $r$ , the robot **10** begins to turn more sharply in whatever direction it is currently heading. In one embodiment, the rate of decreasing the absolute value of  $r$  is a constant rate dependant on the distance traveled.

FIG. **21B**, depicts another embodiment of the obstacle-following algorithm **1500** of the invention. The microprocessor takes sensor readings (step **1505**) and monitors the strength of the signal detected by the wall-following sensor ( $S$ ) against constantly updated and adjusted threshold

## 13

values (T) (step 1510). The threshold adjustment algorithm is depicted in FIG. 21C, described below. In general, in order to follow along an obstacle, the robot is running a behavior that turns away from the wall if the sensor reading is greater than the threshold value (step 1515), and turns toward the wall if the sensor reading is less than the threshold value (step 1520). In certain embodiments, the value of the difference between S and T can be used to set the radius of the robot's turn, thereby reducing oscillations in wall-follow mode.

FIG. 21C depicts an embodiment of the threshold-adjustment subroutine utilized with the obstacle-following algorithm depicted in FIG. 21B. In this embodiment, the synchronous detection scheme 1400 inputs directly into the A/D port on the microprocessor of the robot. This allows sensor values (not merely the presence or absence of a wall, as described in FIG. 21A) to be used. The synchronous detection allows readings to be taken with and without the emitter powered, which allows the system to take into account ambient light.

FIG. 21C depicts the steps of setting and adjusting the threshold value (T). The program 1400 may run while the robot is in wall-following (or obstacle-following) mode. In the depicted embodiment, the robot is moving forward (step 1405) in any operational mode. The term "forward," is used here to describe any operational mode or behavior that is not the wall- or obstacle-following mode described herein. Such modes or behaviors include spiral, straightline, and bounce (or random) as described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,809,490, even though that movement does not consist solely of movement in a single direction. Entering wall-following mode occurs after the robot has sensed an obstacle through its optical or tactile sensors (step 1410). It is therefore assumed, at the time program 1400 begins, the robot is adjacent to a wall or obstacle. When the robot enters wall-following mode, it first sets the threshold value to a minimum level,  $T_{min}$  (step 1415), and aligns the robot initially along the wall and begins moving along the wall (step 1420). The system then takes sensor readings (step 1425). In one embodiment, the detection scheme (step 1425) involves taking four readings and averaging the results. Additional filtering of the sensor input can be used to remove localized changes in the wall surface (e.g., spots of dirt, patches of missing or altered paint, dents, etc.)

The system then looks for either of two conditions to reset the threshold (T): (i) a bump event (i.e. contact with the wall) (step 1430) or (ii) if S times  $C_1$  exceeds T (step 1435), where in one embodiment  $C_1$  is 0.5. In general,  $C_1$  should be between 0 and 1, where a higher value causes the robot to follow closer to the wall. If T is to be reset, it is set to  $SC_1$  (step 1440). If neither condition is met, the system continues to move along the wall (step 1420) and take additional sensor readings (step 1425).

In the embodiment of the threshold-adjustment algorithm depicted in FIG. 21C, the process called "wall-follow-adjuster" 1450 is constantly updating the threshold (T) based on the current signal from the wall sensor (S). The behavior called "wall-follow-align" 1455 initializes the threshold on a bump or sensor detection of a wall or other obstacle (step 1410). Near the beginning of this algorithm, it sets the threshold (step 1415) based on the sensor signal without the check done in the "wall-follow-adjuster" process (i.e., step 1435) that ensures that the new threshold is higher (step 1440).

Other embodiments of the wall-following sensor and system include the ability to vary the power or sensitivity of the emitter or detector. A stronger emitted signal, for

## 14

example, would allow the robot to effectively follow the contours of a wall or other obstacle at a further distance. Such an embodiment would allow a robot to deliberately mop or vacuum, for example, an entire large room following the contours of the wall from the outer wall to the innermost point. This would be an extremely efficient way to clean large rooms devoid of furniture or other obstructions, such as ballrooms, conference centers, etc.

The sensor system may also take readings at various distances from the wall (e.g., at the wall and after a small amount of movement) to set the threshold. Such an embodiment would be particularly useful to increase the likelihood that the robot never touch obstacles (such as installation art pieces in museums) or walls in architecturally sensitive buildings (such as restored mansions and the like). Other embodiments of the wall detection system use multiple receivers at different distances or angles so as to accommodate differences caused by various reflective surfaces or single surfaces having different reflectivities due to surface coloration, cleanliness, etc. For example, some embodiments may have multiple detectors set at different depths and/or heights within the robot housing.

Other embodiments of the sensor subsystem may utilize an emitter to condition the value of the signal that corresponds to an object. For example, the detection sequence may include emitting a signal from an LED emitter and detecting the signal and corresponding value. The system may then detect a signal again, without emitting a corresponding signal. This would allow the robot to effectively minimize the effect of ambient light or walls of different reflectivities.

The wall-follower mode can be continued for a predetermined or random time, a predetermined or random distance, or until some additional criteria are met (e.g., bump sensor is activated, etc.). In one embodiment, the robot continues to follow the wall indefinitely. In another embodiment, minimum and maximum travel distances are determined, whereby the robot will remain in wall-following behavior until the robot has either traveled the maximum distance or traveled at least the minimum distance and encountered an obstacle. This implementation of wall-following behavior ensures the robot spends an appropriate amount of time in wall-following behavior as compared to its other operational modes, thereby decreasing systemic neglect and distributing coverage to all areas. By increasing wall-following, the robot is able to move in more spaces, but the robot is less efficient at cleaning any one space. In addition, by exiting the wall-following behavior after obstacle detection, the robot increases the users' perceived effectiveness.

FIG. 22 is a flow-chart illustration showing an embodiment of determining when to exit wall-following behavior. The robot first determines the minimum distance to follow the wall ( $d_{min}$ ) and the maximum distance to follow the wall ( $d_{max}$ ). While in wall (or obstacle) following mode, the control system tracks the distance the robot has traveled in that mode ( $d_{wrf}$ ). If  $d_{wrf}$  is greater than  $d_{max}$  (step 1350), then the robot exits wall-following mode (step 1380). If, however,  $d_{wrf}$  is less than  $d_{max}$  (step 1350) and  $d_{wrf}$  is less than  $d_{min}$  (step 1360), the robot remains in wall-following mode (step 1385). If  $d_{wrf}$  is greater than  $d_{min}$  (step 1360) and an obstacle is encountered (step 1370), the robot exits wall-following mode (step 1380).

Theoretically, the optimal distance for the robot to travel in wall-following behavior is a function of room size and configuration and robot size. In a preferred embodiment, the minimum and maximum distance to remain in wall-following are set based upon the approximate room size, the

15

robot's width and a random component, where by the average minimum travel distance is  $2w/p$ , where  $w$  is the width of the work element of the robot and  $p$  is the probability that the robot will enter wall-following behavior in a given interaction with an obstacle. By way of example, in one embodiment,  $w$  is approximately between 15 cm and 25 cm, and  $p$  is 0.095 (where the robot encounters 6 to 15 obstacles, or an average of 10.5 obstacles, before entering an obstacle following mode). The minimum distance is then set randomly as a distance between approximately 115 cm and 350 cm; the maximum distance is then set randomly as a distance between approximately 170 cm and 520 cm. In certain embodiments the ratio between the minimum distance to the maximum distance is 2:3. For the sake of perceived efficiency, the robot's initial operation in an obstacle-following mode can be set to be longer than its later operations in obstacle following mode. In addition, users may place the robot along the longest wall when starting the robot, which improves actual as well as perceived coverage.

The distance that the robot travels in wall-following mode can also be set by the robot depending on the number and frequency of objects encountered (as determined by other sensors), which is a measure of room "clutter." If more objects are encountered, the robot would wall follow for a greater distance in order to get into all the areas of the floor. Conversely, if few obstacles are encountered, the robot would wall follow less in order to not over-cover the edges of the space in favor of passes through the center of the space. An initial wall-following distance can also be included to allow the robot to follow the wall a longer or shorter distance during its initial period where the wall-following behavior has control.

In one embodiment, the robot may also leave wall-following mode if the robot turns more than, for example, 270 degrees and is unable to locate the wall (or object) or if the robot has turned a total of 360 degrees since entering the wall-following mode.

In certain embodiments, when the wall-following behavior is active and there is a bump, the align behavior becomes active. The align behavior turns the robot counter-clockwise to align the robot with the wall. The robot always turns a minimum angle. The robot monitors its wall sensor and if it detects a wall and then the wall detection goes away, the robot stops turning. This is because at the end of the wall follower range, the robot is well aligned to start wall-following. If the robot has not seen its wall detector go on and then off by the time it reaches its maximum angle, it stops anyway. This prevents the robot from turning around in circles when the wall is out of range of its wall sensor. When the most recent bump is within the side 60 degrees of the bumper on the dominant side, the minimum angle is set to 14 degrees and the maximum angle is 19 degrees. Otherwise, if the bump is within 30 degrees of the front of the bumper on the dominant side or on the non-dominant side, the minimum angle is 20 degrees and the maximum angle is 44 degrees. When the align behavior has completed turning, it cedes control to the wall-following behavior.

For reasons of cleaning thoroughness and navigation, the ability to follow walls is essential for cleaning robots. Dust and dirt tend to accumulate at room edges. The robot therefore follows walls that it encounters to insure that this special area is well cleaned. Also, the ability to follow walls enables a navigation strategy that promotes full coverage. Using this strategy, the robot can avoid becoming trapped in small areas. Such entrapments could otherwise cause the robot to neglect other, possibly larger, areas.

16

But, it is important that the detected distance of the robot from the wall does not vary according to the reflectivity of the wall. Proper cleaning would not occur if the robot positioned itself very close to a dark-colored wall but several inches away from a light-colored wall. By using the dual collimation system of the subject invention, the field of view of the infrared emitter and detector are restricted in such a way that there is a limited, selectable volume where the cones of visibility intersect. Geometrically, the sensor is arranged so that it can detect both diffuse and specular reflection. Additionally, a manual shutter may be utilized on or in the robot housing to further limit the intersection of the cones of visibility or adjust the magnitude of the detected signal. This arrangement allows the designer to select with precision the distance at which the robot follows the wall independent of the reflectivity of the wall.

One robot system 300, FIG. 23, in accordance with this invention includes a circuit embodied in microprocessor 302 which controls drive motion subsystem 304 of robot 300 in both the random movement and wall-following modes to drive and turn the robot accordingly. Sensor subsystem 308 represents the designs discussed above with respect to FIGS. 5A-15. The detectors of each such subsystem provide an output signal to microprocessor 302 as discussed supra which is programmed according to the logic discussed with reference to FIGS. 16-17 to provide the appropriate signals to drive subsystem 304. Modulator circuitry 310 drives the emitters of the sensor subsystem 308 under the control of processor 302 as discussed above.

There may be three or more cliff-detector subsystems, as shown in FIG. 24, at locations 316, 318, and 320 spaced about the forward bottom portion of the robot and aimed downward and only one or two or more wall detector subsystems at locations 322 and 324 spaced about the forward portion of the robot housing and aimed outwardly.

In one embodiment, depicted in FIG. 25, a 12-inch diameter, three-wheeled, differentially-steered robot 340, is a sweeper-type cleaning robot equipped with sweeping brush 342 and includes four cliff-detector subsystems 342, 344, 346, and 348 and one wall-detector subsystem 352, FIG. 26. The output of the detectors of each subsystem are typically connected together by "OR" circuitry logic so that when any one detector detects a signal it is communicated to the processor.

FIG. 27A shows one embodiment of a detector circuit. R1 (384), CR1 (382), R2 (388), R3 (390), C1 (392), and U1:D (394) form a voltage reference used to prevent saturation of intermediate gain stages. In this embodiment, R1 (384) and CR1 (382) create from the input voltage (386) approximately 5.1V that is divided by voltage divider R2 (388), R3 (390) to create a voltage of approximately 1.8V. This is buffered by U1:D (394) configured as a unity gain follower. C1 (392) is provided to reduce noise. The photo-transistor (not shown) used in this embodiment requires a biasing current, provided from the above-described reference voltage through R7 (396). R10 (398), R13 (402), and U1:A (400) implement an amplifier with a gain of approximately -10. C4 (404) is provided for compensation and to reduce noise.

C2 (404) is used to block any DC component of the signal, while R8 (407), R12 (408), and U1:B (406) implement an amplifier with a gain of approximately -100. CR2 (410), R5 (414), and C3 (416) implement a peak detector/rectifier. R11 (412) provides a discharge path for C3 (416). The output of this peak detector is then compared to the above mentioned reference voltage by U1:C (420). R4 (422) provide hysteresis. R9 (424) is a current limiting resistor used so that the output

17

of U1:C (420) may be used to drive an indicator LED (not shown). Jumper JU1 (426) provides a convenient test point for debugging.

An oscillator circuit as shown in FIG. 28 is used to modulate the emitter IR LED at a frequency of several kHz. The exact frequency may be selected by adjusting R23 (468). Those skilled in the art will immediately deduce other ways of obtaining the same function. The simple filter/amplifier circuit of FIG. 27A is used to receive and amplify the output of a photo-transistor (not shown). A peak detector/integrator is used to convert the AC input to a threshold measurement. If sufficient energy in the selected bandwidth is received, the output signal is present at (428) is driven to a logical high state. Those skilled in the art will immediately recognize other ways of achieving the same ends. Components R14 (440), R17 (446), and U2:B (448) create a buffered bias voltage equal to approximately one-half of the input voltage (442). U2:A (456), R19 (460), R23 (468), and C5 (470) create a simple oscillator of a form commonly used. R18 (458), Q1 (462), and R21 (466) convert the voltage-mode oscillations of the oscillator described to current-mode oscillations in order that the emitter LED (connected to 464) be relatively constant current regardless of power supply voltage (442). The actual current impressed through the circuit may be altered to meet the requirements of the chosen LED by varying the value of R21 (466).

FIG. 27B depicts an embodiment of circuitry 700 that implements the wall-following behavior described in connection with FIG. 21B above. For this application, the four-stage circuit depicted in FIG. 27A can be replaced by a direct connection of a phototransistor light detector 702 to the analog input of the microcontroller 704. This significantly reduces the space required to implement the sensor system and reduces its cost, thus enabling more sensors to be used (for example, as additional proximity sensors around the circumference of a robot). In the depicted embodiment, an analog-to-digital conversion takes place within the microcontroller 704, and all signal processing is accomplished in the digital domain. This allows for maximum flexibility in the development of sensing algorithms.

This embodiment of the invention achieves a high response to the signal of interest, while minimizing the response to unwanted signals, by sampling the photodetector 702 at specific intervals synchronized with the modulated output of the infrared emitter 706. In this embodiment, moving-window averages of four IR-on and four IR-off samples are taken. In the figure, samples 1, 3, 5, and 7 are summed to produce an average IR-on value; samples 2, 4, 6, and 8 are summed to produce an average IR-off value. The difference between those averages represents the signal of interest. Because of the synchronous sampling, stray light, whether DC or modulated, has little effect on the measured signal.

In FIG. 29, a connector J1 (500) is used to connect the system to a means of supplying power (e.g., a battery). Fuse F1 (501) is included to limit excessive current flow in the event of a short circuit or other defect. Capacitors C6 (506) and C7 (510), FIG. 30 are provided for decoupling of other electronics (U1 and U2). Connector J2 (514), FIG. 31 provides a means of attachment for the IR LED transmitter (not shown). Connector J3 (520), FIG. 32 provides a means of attachment for the IR photo-transistor (not shown). Connector J4 (530), FIG. 33 provides a means of attachment for an indicator LED (to indicate the presence or absence of an obstacle, a means of attachment for a battery (not shown), and a means of attachment for a recharging power supply (not shown). Jumper JU2, FIG. 34, provides a convenient

18

GROUND point for test equipment, etc. U3 (536) and R22 (538), FIG. 35 implements a constant-current source used in recharging an attached NiCad battery. U3 maintains a constant 5 volts between pins 3 and 2.5 volts divided by 22 Ohms (R22) creates a current of approximately 230 mA.

In other embodiments, a fiber optic source and detector may be used which operate similar to the sensor subsystems described above. The difference is that collimation is provided by the acceptance angle of two fiber optic cables. The fiber arrangement allows the emitter and detector to be located on a circuit board rather than mounted near the wheel of the robot. The cliff detector and wall detector can also be implemented using a laser as the source of the beam. The laser provides a very small spot size and may be useful in certain applications where the overall expense is not a priority design consideration. Infrared systems are desirable when cost is a primary design constraint. Infrared sensors can be designed to work well with all floor types. They are inexpensive and can be fitted into constrained spaces. In alternative embodiments audible or ultrasonic signals may be utilized for the emitter and/or detector.

Although specific features of the invention are shown in some drawings and not in others, this is for convenience only as each feature may be combined with any or all of the other features in accordance with the invention. The words "including," "comprising," "having," and "with" as used herein are to be interpreted broadly and comprehensively and are not limited to any physical interconnection. Moreover, any embodiments disclosed in the subject application are not to be taken as the only possible embodiments.

What is claimed is:

1. A robot comprising:

- a robot housing;
- a drive system housed by the robot housing and configured to maneuver the robot with respect to an obstacle;
- at least one sensor component housed in the robot housing and directed outwardly for detecting the presence of the obstacle, the sensor component comprising:
  - an emitter that emits a directed signal having a defined field of emission; and
  - a detector having a defined field of view directed to intersect the field of emission of the emitter, the intersection of the field of emission and the field of view defining a volume of intersection space laterally adjacent the robot housing; and
- a circuit in communication with the detector and the drive system for maneuvering the robot and the intersection space as a function of the orientation of the robot with respect to the obstacle as determined by detection of the signal in the intersection space.

2. The robot of claim 1, further comprising a bump sensor supported on a forward portion of the robot housing and responsive to a collision of the robot with the obstacle, and wherein the circuit is in communication with the bump sensor and configured to maneuver the robot via the drive system in response to a detection signal from the bump sensor.

3. The robot of claim 2, further comprising at least one cliff sensor housed in a forward portion of the robot housing below the bump sensor and responsive to the presence of a cliff in a forward direction as the robot maneuvers, and wherein the circuit is in communication with the at least one cliff sensor and configured to maneuver the robot via the drive system in response to a detection signal from the at least one cliff sensor.

## 19

4. The robot of claim 3, wherein the at least one cliff sensor comprises at least three cliff sensor components spaced from each other along the forward portion of the robot housing.

5. The robot of claim 4, wherein each cliff sensor component comprises an optical emitter and a photon detector configured to receive emitter emissions reflected off a floor surface supporting the robot housing, each cliff sensor component having a detection volume defined by an intersection of an emission field of the optical emitter and a field of view of the photon detector, the detection volumes of the at least three sensor components being distributed along the forward portion of the robot housing.

6. The robot of claim 5, wherein the detection volumes of the at least three cliff sensor components are arranged to precede the forward portion of the robot housing as the robot maneuvers.

7. The robot of claim 1, further comprising an emitter lens and a detector lens, wherein the focal lengths of the two lenses are selected to have a common focus in the intersection space.

8. The robot of claim 1, wherein the obstacle comprises a wall surface.

9. The robot of claim 1, wherein the emitter field and detector field are further directed downward.

10. The robot of claim 9, wherein at least one of the directed signal and the defined field of view is directed downward at about 45 degrees.

11. The robot of claim 1, wherein the field of emission and field of view define an angle therebetween of about between 20-80 degrees.

## 20

12. The robot of claim 1, wherein the emitter is configured to modulate the directed signal and the detector is configured to filter signals to detect the modulated directed signal.

13. The robot of claim 1, wherein the directed signal is one of an infrared beam and a laser beam.

14. The robot of claim 1, wherein the detector is further configured to detect a baseline level of ambient light.

15. The robot of claim 1, further comprising an emitter collimator about the emitter for directing the directed signal and a detector collimator about the detector to define the field of view.

16. The robot of claim 1, wherein the circuit is configured to maneuver the robot via the drive system by redirecting the robot away from the obstacle when the obstacle occupies the intersection space.

17. The robot of claim 1, wherein the circuit is configured to determine whether a detected obstacle is a wall.

18. The robot of claim 17, wherein the circuit is further configured to follow a detected wall and to avoid the obstacle if it is determined not to be a wall.

19. The robot of claim 1, further comprising a floor cleaner disposed on a lateral side of the robot housing.

20. The robot of claim 19, wherein the floor cleaner includes a side brush extending beyond a lateral extent of the robot housing, the side brush driven to sweep debris from a floor surface beyond the lateral extent of the housing, for collection by the robot.

\* \* \* \* \*